

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_125979
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Screening of Seaweed Flour (<i>Eucheuma cottonii</i>) Using Various Organic Solvents and Its Application in Tilapia Feed (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>)
Type of the Article	-----

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is very topic because now days in aquaculture fish feed is reduced and fish feed cost is also high. the increasing demand , high cost and uncertain availability of fish feed, finding alternative protein sources that are readily available at a low cost. From the research from the viewpoint of a producing a stable supply of commercial aqua feeds at a reduced price using non-conventional feed resources.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract o the article is comprehensive. No any addition all the points covered in abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There is no need of tool in the manuscript only material is sufficiecent. We can use Materials and Methodology. Instead o f reserach method u can use methodology only.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Formulated nutrient enriched feed plays key role of the proper growth of fishes in need of time. Now days most of the margins is being spent on supplemented fish feed and its transportation. Hence the present problem is scientifically robust and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	References are not sufficient. Use some recent references 2023 year. It is available on the internet.like "The effect of seaweed (eucheuma cottonii) substitution on the characteristics of tilapia (oreochromis niloticus) nuggets" instead of no of references we can use name of author like Yaska, Yusa, & Yusasrini (2017) has shown that Reference write one by one like 1) 2)	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	No any general comments	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vaishali Amit Kamble
Department, University & Country	Doodhsakhar Mahavidyalya, India