

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_125693
Title of the Manuscript:	FORMULATION AND ASSESSMENT OF GINGER CANDY SUPPLEMENTED WITH BEETROOT POMACE POWDER
Type of the Article	Research articles

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study contributes to the ongoing exploration of functional foods by demonstrating a novel use of beetroot pomace, a by-product with high nutritional value, in confectionery products. However, there are lacking in this manuscript regarding results depiction as there is only results regarding the best treatment. The author must give the clear depiction of all treatment results. Alongside there is no any supporting data (discussion) for results.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It should be revised as "Formulation and Nutritional Assessment of Ginger Candy Supplemented with Beetroot Pomace Powder".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There are some suggestions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Highlight the implications of incorporating beetroot pomace, not only in terms of nutritional improvement but also in waste reduction and sustainability, to make the study's importance clearer.</li> <li>2. Since the phytochemical analysis is also a key part of the study, mention which specific phytochemicals were present in the ginger-beetroot candy in results.</li> </ol>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In Results and Discussion section, discussion should also be added to compare results with previous studies, and highlight their significance.</li> <li>2. In conclusion section, practical applications of the findings should be mentioned and also suggest directions for future research.</li> <li>3. References are very old. There is no any latest references in the whole manuscript.</li> </ol>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In the Materials and Methods section, there is lack of formulas and clear explanation for key analyses, which could affect the scientific rigor.</li> <li>2. Additionally, the manuscript mentions candy formulations with 5% (T1) and 15% (T3) beetroot pomace powder, no specific results are provided for these variants in the Results and Discussion section.</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	By updating the reference list with more recent and relevant studies, the manuscript will better align with current scientific discussions and trends in food science.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need minor revisions to improve clarity and readability. There are grammatical errors so proof read the whole document.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ensure that all tables and figures are properly referenced in the text.</li> <li>2. The word "pomace" is repeated frequently, and varying word choices (e.g., residue, by-product) could improve readability.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Humaira Ashraf</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jinnah University for Women, Pakistan</b>