

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_125949
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES IN PRODUCTION, PROCESSING AND MARKETING OF SCENTED GERANIUM IN NORTHERN KARNATAKA
Type of the Article	Survey Research Article

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Subject is very good. Study fill the gaps in the marketing of medicinal plants and challenges.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>CHALLENGES IN PRODUCTION, PROCESSING AND MARKETING OF SCENTED GERANIUM IN NORTHERN KARNATAKA, INDIA</b>  (Note: Same title in the form of Abstract is published <a href="https://www.cmdr.ac.in/admin_panel/file_upload/news/IKS%20NSR%20-%20f692024%20(1).pdf">https://www.cmdr.ac.in/admin_panel/file_upload/news/IKS%20NSR%20-%20f692024%20(1).pdf</a> )	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Put some numerical values from the results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Cite the references in the section- Methodology	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Improve the methodology and discussion part.	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sanjeet Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>