

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_126225
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil diversity of Himachal Pradesh: Addressing key issues and crafting solutions
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The review article entitled Soil diversity of Himachal Pradesh: Addressing key issues and crafting solutions is understandable and displays the content that describes the soil classification and characteristics. Ina addition the other provided the current solutions on exist sting challenges.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Somehow. If you check the entire content of the manuscript , you find that large content is not focusing on key issues and solutions, but description and characteristics of the soils. The title is sounding as if he conducted a descriptive research, yet it is a review article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The review article entitled Soil diversity of Himachal Pradesh: Addressing key issues and crafting solutions is understandable and displays the content that describes the soil classification and characteristics. Ina addition the other provided the current solutions on exist sting challenges.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We need some pictures of soil erosion, some pictures of types of the soil • We need to see clearly the content of challenges/problems of the soil in Himachal Pradesh • The author should know that he/she is not conducting a new research, but compling, compare and contrasting existing research findings. Therefore, he/she cannot say '<i>In order to comprehend the existing soil fertility state of the mid-Himalayan region, the current study was planned. This would help the farmers to make more informed decisions to increase the productivity of their lands and to improve their livelihood</i>'. • There no paragraph comparing of findings, nor criticizing findings nor contrasting findings!!!!!! • Some time we do not conclude in review artcle but, we share our outlook/perspectives 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>no</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ndokoye Pancras
Department, University & Country	University of Technology and Arts of Byumba, Rwanda