

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_125052
Title of the Manuscript:	Association of genetic parameters among its components in Indian mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The availability of genetic variation is advantageous for crop improvements. The present study has revealed valuable information on different yield traits in mustard improvement. The experiment revealed some promising genotypes for yield and yield contributing traits. Hence, these genotypes can be utilized as potential donor for future hybridization programme to develop high yielding varieties.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Author can add aims of the study. Standard methodology followed in the research can be suggested in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Acknowledgement, competing interest and authors' contributions are important feature of any scientific research. Author/Authors is/are suggested to give acknowledgement and consent of the all individuals/organizations involved in the research programme.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Few references referred in the manuscript, are not being cited in the reference section. It is a major mistake of the author while writing a scientific article. Author is suggested to cite references with accurate year of work done. Revise once the citation of Panse and Sukhatme, 1985. Cite the works done by Singh et al. (2018), Tiwari (2019), Sharma et al. (2014), (Nagaharu Us, 1935) in the reference section.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the references are older than 5 years. Author can refer more recent references for strengthening the confidence of the research.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	NA	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajesh Chintey
Department, University & Country	Birangana Sati Sadhani Rajyik Vishwavidyalaya, India