

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_125464
Title of the Manuscript:	Fungicidal Potentials of Leaf and Bark Extracts of Bryophyllum Pinnatum on Cowpea (Vigna Unguiculata L.) Seed Fungi.
Type of the Article	Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	By isolating and identifying the spoilage pathogens of cowpea seed showed that the different organisms <i>Aspergillus aculeatus</i> , <i>Fusarium solani</i> , <i>Penicillium citrinum</i> , <i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i> , and <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> were responsible for cowpea spoilage. Additionally, this study also conclude that the ethanol extracts of <i>B. pinnatum</i> plant could be an alternative or complimentary to synthetic chemicals in controlling spoilage fungi, which is beneficial for environment.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Abstract is good	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Check the Numbering in subsections at all places	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This study has revealed the potentials of <i>B. pinnatum</i> extracts in the control of cowpea seed spoilage, with <i>B. pinnatum</i> leaf and bark extracts exhibiting the most fungitoxic activity, followed by showed the least antifungal effect. All the findings represented very well.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References are sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes language is suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Author need to write the contents which was shown in the different tables in result part. Need to check citations at all places and maintain the uniformity	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Shivani Suman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya Gwalior, India</b>