

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_125842
Title of the Manuscript:	Pain intensity and Disability of Chronic Low Back Pain patients receiving Physiotherapy in Cameroon: A call for patients' awareness to focus on physiotherapy treatment
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article focuses on creating awareness of physiotherapy in the community health and specific to low back pain.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	no SUGGESTION : Effect of physiotherapy on non-specific chronic low back pain - a cross-sectional study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	- AIM of the study should be redefined in reference to the TITLE and DISCUSSION of the study - Study setting to be specified - Study title calls for focus on effect of physiotherapy in chronic low back pain but aim of the study focuses on finding additional effect of traditional medicine apart from conventional physiotherapy , thus result of the study is inappropriate to study title and aim	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	DRAWBACKS - considering the study title, the study design could have been set up as comparative study between physiotherapy management and traditional medicine SUGGESTION - the introduction of the study can focus on adding the matter of conventional and holistic medicine effect on health along with introduction to real-life problems associated with traditional medicine to LBP in the study setting PHYSIOTHERAPY treatment protocol to be made specific in the methodology with evidences added to the order of the treatment protocol in application RELIABILITY of EIFEL scale to be added and evidences to its use to be re-done.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	few grammatical mistakes to be corrected	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samarpita Senapati
Department, University & Country	Teerthanker Mahaveer University, India