

Review Form 3

124855

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_124855
Title of the Manuscript:	DOMICILIARY CARE SERVICE AT A TERTIARY CENTRE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic of choice interesting though the writing of manuscript is not scientific. It requires major revision in methodology and results section. Introduction section could explain more about the three domains that are being evaluated with appropriate references. It also does not clearly states or highlights the objectives or research hypothesis	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title does not describe the study. Study is not mentioned, the main objective that is barriers is not mentioned. The place where study is conducted is also not mentioned. The title is more of a running title than a scientific one.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is structured following IMRaD format. The results could revisited as it very complex and not easy to understand. Kindly revisit results section in the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. The Methodology is incomplete. No mention of sample size determination. There is no mention on reliability and validity of the questionnaire used. intraexaminer bias has been neglected. For Results section it is very vaguely written and in a non scientific language. Mention of participants' words is not really required. A more of tables and figures could be used to simplify the results. No Statistical analysis is done	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript requires major revision. It is not scientifically written. The above mentioned point need to be considered	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the reference are recent but not sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments	No the language needs to revisited and written in a more scientific way	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The research question which has been selected is a valuable point for the community, however the presentation of the data is not appropriate. All the sections needs to be revisited and structured properly	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yash M. Lalwani
Department, University & Country	Sumandeep Vidyapeeth Deemed to be University, India