

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_124855
Title of the Manuscript:	DOMICILIARY CARE SERVICE AT A TERTIARY CENTRE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	To know the importance of domiciliary care at Hospital is very much required one that will help to provide this domiciliary services at any Tertiary Hospital effectively. By obtaining this service accurately will become more benefited among the patients. Ultimately health service will be promoted.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Appropriate	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Equal font size should be maintained, and justification of whole manuscript should be required. This manuscript is scientifically sound because in present study by knowing these facts, by overcome the shortcoming of this facilities makes our health's status promoted.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are too old; it will become nice when recent reference is used. Reference no 2,3,4,5 &6 should be write properly. In the text there is reference no. 5 is missing and the sequence of reference no. should be maintain in the text that is in discuss section.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammar check software showed too much grammatical mistake	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This study is good one	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Nadia Begum
Department, University & Country	Zainul Haque Sikder Women's Medical College and Hospital, Bangladesh