

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_125413
Title of the Manuscript:	LEAD (Pb) ACCUMULATION IN WATER, SEDIMENT AND DISTRIBUTION OF MACRO-INVERTEBRATES IN DELIMI RIVER, JOS, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript touched on a very important issue that affect normal functioning of the ecosystem by looking at the concentration of pH in DELIMI RIVER, JOS, NIGERIA. This has become important because of anthropogenic activities along the river, which could release heavy metals such as Pb which is very toxic. Assessing the toxicity level of the river is very important to help decision-makers implement measures to protect the ecosystem.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Even though comprehensive, the authors have to rewrite the methodology section because its current state is very confusing.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and the structure of the manuscript are well-presented and appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct because the research has stated the problem and justified why the research has to be conducted. Furthermore, the samples were collected using the appropriate methodology and transported in a manner that would not affect the quality of the samples. The appropriate analytical tools were used to analyze both the water and sediment. However, the authors are encouraged to run other statistical analysis to ascertain the association and correlation of the data. Eg. Correlation, PCA, multilinear regression.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Even though the references are sufficient, about 70% of the references are above 10 years. The authors have to update the references beyond 10 years.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language has to be seriously be improved because there are a lot of grammatical errors and the article are not properly used.	
Optional/General comments	1. The authors must state in the manuscript why they selected the period for their research 2. Improve upon the tables 3. Work on the abstract 4. How the samples were transported to the laboratory	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Lyndon N.A. Sackey
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana