

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_125264
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Providing Cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>) Hay, Wheat Bran, and Their Mixes on Begait Lambs' Skin and Leather Quality
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Frist of all I appreciate for the author who selected this manuscript title because, the leather industry is one of the back bone and primary sector in Ethiopia. Generally the defects are occurred during pre-slaughter, during slaughter and post-slaughter process. There for, this manuscript focuses on presaghter process or in feeding system of lambs in order tom improves the leather quality in chemical and physico-mechanical properties. As, a result, it is interesting manuscript and has novelty.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Little bit edition Impact of Providing Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) Hay, Wheat Bran, and Their Mixes on Begait Lambs' Skin and Leather Quality	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the result part you mentioned the physical properties of lamb skin and leather such us, tensile strength, elongation strength, and thickness was increased .how ever you did not consider the other physical properties of the Begait Lab leather such as, Elastic, Tear strength, Abrasion Resistance and Hardness and Flexibility.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The sub section structure and follow of idea is good .	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	When you conduct this research how much kilogram of salt for how much k,g sample of lambskin is used? Because in Ethiopia we use salt preservation but it needs scientific method to preserve raw skin to prevent the putrefaction or red heat effects. The parameters are not clearly stated during chemical property testing. What about the environmental pollution did you consider but there is no statement regarding environmental concerns . Why you are using wheat bran? Because it is one of the best our food in Ethiopia .so, how you can consider economically?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	There is no sufficient reference in this manuscript let us see the following as example there more reference which are old published and not published under reputable journals. Please revise the literature or the r reference and make the recent and published under reputable journals. Adane Hirpa, Girma Abebe. Economic Significance of Sheep and Goats. pp. 4-7. In: Alemu Yami and R.C. Markel (eds.), Ethiopia Sheep and Goat Productivity Improvement Program (ESGPIP) Handbook. Pre-review A and M University, Texas, USA; American Institute for Goat Research of Langston University, Oklahoma, USA; Ethiopian Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Ethiopia. 2008. 2. Zeleke Tekle. Common defects of sheep and goat skins in Ethiopia and their causes. Ethiopia sheep and goat productivity improvement program. 2009; Technical Bulletin. Vol. 19: 1-5.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I did not see any spelling and grammatical errors it is good.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Berihun Negash
Department, University & Country	Dire Dawa University, Ethiopia