

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125796
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Edible Coatings on Morphological Characters of Strawberry Fruits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author has identified a major gap in the post-harvest shelf life of the strawberry fruit. Strawberry consist of high amount of water content and 98% of portion is edible. Being a berry fruit, it has very low shelf life due to which the fruit can not be stored for longer durations. The author has targeted the for finding the best material which can be used to improve the shelf life and has presented paper about how these edible coating effect the morphophysiological characters of the fruit	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Comparative analysis of edible coatings on post-harvest morphological character strawberry Effects of post-harvest edible coatings application on morphological character strawberry fruits	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract conveys the conclusion of experiment but need major revision by some one who has good hold on English Grammer.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes but still there is scope of improvement	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The material and method need to be re written. Like example the fruits were sorted out to see any injury or damage. This can be re written as, "healthy and damage free fruits were selected". Similarly in other section revision is required. More over the analysis is very basic to draw a strong conclusion. Although more parameter like bio chemical parameters can be added to support the result. As post harvest shelf life is not only concentrated towards visual appearance the consumer also would like to know the effects on taste, biochemical and nutritional properties.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No reference was recent most recent reference is of 2018 and also dates back to 1992. Author must consider supporting the findings with most recent works.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Whole manuscript needs to be re written in consultation with a native English speaker. There are lots of grammatical errors. The capitalization of words between sentences is a big no!	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shiv Kumar Shivandu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICAR- Indian Agricultural Research Institute, India</b>