

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125620
Title of the Manuscript:	Seed Morphology and Tetrazolium Quick Seed Viability Test of Malabar Neem (<i>Melia dubia</i> Cav.) under Laboratory Condition
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Study related to assessing viability by one of quick testing method Work is based on one of the important medicinal & industrial plant Tetrazolium Quick Seed Viability test is a better way to estimate seed viability	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes Good	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Study related to assessing viability by one of quick testing method Work is based on one of the important medicinal & industrial plant Tetrazolium Quick Seed Viability test is a better way to estimate seed viability Correct statistical design & data interpretation	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Try to include further more recent references related to the study	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Give more details regarding to sample preparation & TZ testing methodology Add some details about structure & composition of melia seeds Safe moisture content of melia seeds while drying Justify why not any lower concentration below 0.5% has not been used and how higher concentrations influenced the results negatively? Try to include further more recent references related to the study	
Optional/General comments	Update the minor corrections as indicated	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ragupathi K P
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India