

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125281
Title of the Manuscript:	Coconut Growers: Challenges faced and solutions proposed
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research presentation is simple, and the data analysis and discussion are not deep and comprehensive.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Some sentence/s need to be omitted in the abstract. Please see the comments in the attached file.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>Introduction - "Indeed, coconut is an important commodity in India, but it would be more interesting if recent statistics such as the annual production trend, export earnings, areas planted, etc . will be included. These statistics can help you build up your research problem."</p> <p>Statement of the Problem - the introduction and statement of the problem highlighted the essence of the coconut industry and the importance of the interventions, like analysing the challenges and solutions and recognizing issues but it does not clearly state or identify the problem. What is the problem? What is the knowledge gap? For example, the volume of coconut production is declining, and the like...</p> <p>Methodology- One of the important aspects of ex-post facto research is to determine the cause-and-effect, and one of its characteristics is to identify pre-existing conditions. While your research purposively selected Coimbatore district, you should describe what this district is all about in relation to coconut production. In your description you may include, significant statistics on coconut production in Coimbatore. You may also identify here the research problem or the knowledge gap that will serve as the basis in conducting your research.</p> <p>Findings and Discussion- Tables and Figures depict the same content; you can present only one. The discussion of Tables or Figures, should be presented first.</p> <p>The findings and discussion do not comprehensively describe the cause and effect. There is no in-depth discussion on the identified challenges. Although you find similar reports from other studies about the identified challenges, but you should have also included reference data/similar reports about how these challenges emerged and affected the coconut industry. This observation applies to all part of the findings and discussion.</p> <p>An analysis of the relationship between/among the challenges and solutions would provide a better understanding of the current condition of coconut production in Coimbatore. A discussion on this aspect would contribute more to the depth of knowledge about the coconut industry. For example, how does the number 1 rank in the solution - Ensuring Fair Market Prices for Produce would contribute to addressing the number 1 challenge - The high cost of equipment.</p>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically		

Review Form 3

robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please see comments in the Introduction and Findings and Discussion portions.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aladin P. Repaso
Department, University & Country	Philippines