

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	JournalofExperimental AgricultureInternational
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JEAI_125210
TitleoftheManuscript:	ConstraintsFacedbytheMembersof WomenMilkProducers'CooperativeSocietiesinShivamoggaDistrictofKarnataka.
Typeofthe Article	

ReviewForm3

PART1:ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript may have general importance in the form of its topic applicable to policy perspectives.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract does not accurately portray the study's findings. Major modifications are required with respect to inclusion of policy implications.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction section lacks information about the current state of WMPCS in the region. The objectives of the study and hypothesis must be clearly stated. 2. Major revisions are needed in the material and methods section, including sampling design, Likert scale elaboration, and Garret's Ranking technique. 3. The results are poorly presented, and there is no discussion part as such. This section should be revised to include the implications, possible causes, and effects of the findings. 4. Conclusion needs changes according to the improvements mentioned in previous sections. 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The robustness of the manuscript lies in its simplicity of work. This manuscript considered variety of the constraint groups faced by WMPCS, which is commendable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some of the references are missing such as NDDB, Garret and Woodworth (1969) etc.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Manuscript can be considered for publication only after major revisions.	

ReviewForm3 **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vishal Shankar Thorat
Department, University & Country	ASPEE Agribusiness Management Institute, Navsari Agricultural University, India