

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125210
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints Faced by the Members of Women Milk Producers' Cooperative Societies in Shivamogga District of Karnataka.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses the significant topic of challenges faced by women in milk production cooperatives in rural Karnataka, providing valuable insights into the constraints hindering their productivity. The study contributes to the understanding of gender dynamics in dairy farming, highlighting the infrastructural, economic, social, and technical obstacles that specifically affect women. However, there is need to modify some analysis, and studies related to the past studies to make it more valuable which will make an important contribution to the literature on rural development and gender empowerment in agriculture, as it offers targeted suggestions for policy interventions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title accurately reflects the content of the manuscript and provides a clear indication of the study's focus.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract summarizes the key findings but could be improved by better structuring it and clarifying the scope of the research. The methodology and significant constraints should be briefly highlighted to provide a more holistic understanding. The addition of a couple of sentences on the implications of the findings for policy or practice would enhance its effectiveness.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure are appropriate and flow logically from one section to the next. However, the inclusion of a dedicated section discussing the implications of the findings for policy or practice would strengthen the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is scientifically robust and methodologically sound because in this study, a sample of 120 women were carried out, along with the application of Garrett's ranking technique, is appropriate for this type of research. The results are clearly presented and supported by relevant literature. However, there is a need for deeper discussion on how these findings could influence future policy decisions in dairy cooperatives, particularly concerning women's empowerment.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient, though some more recent studies (post-2020) on women's roles in agricultural cooperatives would provide a more updated context to support the findings.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	there are several instances where grammatical improvements are needed. A thorough proofreading is recommended to enhance readability, particularly in the results section.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Fahad Farid
Department, University & Country	College of Economics and Management, Northwest Agricultural and Forestry University, China