

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_126065
Title of the Manuscript:	"A Comparative Study on Dentinal Tubule Penetration of Endodontic Irrigants: Confocal Laser Microscopy Insights"
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript enlightens the importance of irrigation in root canal treatment by explaining different materials and their ability to penetrate the dentinal tubules. As we know how valuable irrigation step is in root canal therapy, this research will make a difference in the literature.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. There are some minor corrections that needs to be done for abstract: please write what kind of teeth were selected, the concentration of sodium hypochlorite, and size of master apical file.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As this study has multiple groups and a control group, it is a good study to be used as a reference in future. Methodology is descriptive enough in order to replicate for future research projects. The results has an impact in the field of endodontics.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		
	Please mention in the methodology what kind of teeth were used, mandibular premolars or any other tooth?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Urvashi Bhimjibhai Sodvadia
Department, University & Country	Nova Southeastern University, United States