

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_125600
Title of the Manuscript:	Angiotensin System Blockers In Experimental NAFLD/MASLD: Is There A Preferential Pathway Or Drug?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Investigation of olmesartan and ramipril for NAFLD is a crucial approach to address such growing health challenge and exploring potential options for treating patients with both hypertension and NAFLD.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the abstract section, the authors refer to Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD) as MASLD. While both terms are used, using the full name would provide more clarity for readers. Metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD).	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The experimental protocol is well described in the material and methods section. However, criteria for the animal model such as age range, weight range, and health status of the rabbits should be specified in more details.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The text is clear and well-structured. The vocabulary is appropriate for scholarly communications and the sentences are grammatically correct.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elham Mohamed Youssef
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt