

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125861
Title of the Manuscript:	Bio-efficacy of Biochar's against Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. radicis-cucumerinum inciting Root and Stem Rot Disease of Cucumber in In vitro condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The use of natural, inexpensive products with a visible antimycotic role is topical for both agricultural producers and the world scientific community. Lugumiculture (in our case cucumber growing) is facing the problem of fungal diseases for the inhibition of which products or substances with a possible inhibitory effect on fungi are continuously being sought. The idea of this study is one that I personally like, namely the antimycotic effect of wood of different species (Green house waste, Eucalyptus wood and Citrus wood) used in different medium concentrations. This is an inexpensive and handy product which can be easily used for the above mentioned purpose. SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is well done.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the structure and subsection of the manuscript are appropriate for a research article.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. The article is well documented and the evaluation of the antimycotic effect of in vitro products is done correctly.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references sufficient for an original research article (11) but not very relatively (only 5 of references is after year 2000).	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. The language quality is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	In addition to those mentioned I have made a corrections in the full article with the red colour. The review article can be published only after a major revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihai- Iacob Bențea
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine from Cluj-Napoca, Romania