

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125774
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Lemongrass ( <i>Cymbopogon flexuosus</i> L.) Genotypes under Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka (Zone III)
Type of the Article	Research article

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A good approach toward societal benefits with raise in economy and financial situation of small land holders with dry land. Also include growth and yield parameters to decide to adopt for plantation and growth.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes  As it includes essential oil – will be more adoptable to the data interpreted.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Delete the conclusion and add the conclusion relevant with data found through article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As this manuscript provide a varietal comparison of 40 different genotypes depending on various parameters will able to get most perfectness in research and data collection.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add the recent reference in place of Panse and Sukhatme (1967)	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	As only essential oil yield is not being considered as a quality parameter. As it should remove from the aim of abstract or add more quality parameters and discuss in the section.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Pradeep Prakashrao Thorat</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Food Technology, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, India</b>