

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125703
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Genetic Variability and Character Association Studies in Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) Genotypes for Yield and Yield Attributing Traits</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study has been conducted on chickpea which is a very important crop of the region and source of nutrition for the people. But the propose of study is only limited to checking the amount of variability in the germplasm when it is already established that germplasm is quiet variable. The details and pedigree of 96 genotypes is also not given.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title needs to be revised as it is already been used in previous many previous research papers. Suggested title: "RECKONING THE INTERRELATIONSHIP AMONG DIFFERENT YIELD CONTRIBUTING TRAITS IN CHICKPEA GENOTYPES"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract has some typo mistakes which have been highlighted in the text	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript lacks the technical soundness. The results are very weakly discussed and there are some mistakes in the results given in the text which are highlighted. Moreover, only two traits for quality had been chosen for this study why?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality is ok	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zahid Akram</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>PMAS-Arid Agriculture University, Pakistan</b>