

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125330
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenotyping in Plant Breeding using Modern Tools; A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Although the authors have tried to explore new phenotyping tools, the manuscript must be thoroughly read by the author/s before submission.</p> <p>The serial numbers used in paper are not continuous (number 5 is repeated, serial number 8 is missing after 9)</p> <p>Typographical errors have been observed (Spacing issues). Correct them before final approval.</p> <p>Figure 5 is not necessary, if author wants to keep it, always cite reference of original image.</p> <p>Figure 6: Legends is missing</p> <p>Use upper script while starting the sentence (in conclusion part)</p> <p>Conclusion must represent the thoughts of manuscript. Suggested to rewrite the conclusion part.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Suggested to change the title. The title is not representing the text.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is not comprehensive. Suggested to add 2-3 lines.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>No references are missing in certain paragraphs. Example under serial number 5, 6, 9, 10, 12 no references are cited.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is simple, but can be improved to increase the quality of manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Don't drag the figures. Arrange figures neatly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abhishree R
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, India