

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125117
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation and Path Coefficient analysis in F2 segregating Population of cross RNR-15048 x Dokra-Dokri in rice ( <i>Oryza sativa</i> L.).
Type of the Article	Research article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is giving information on fundamental and basic knowledge of yield improvement in rice. The work is new one and the data is presented in good manners. The scientific community will be enriched by publishing this article because the data were collected from F <sub>2</sub> generation, where the maximum variability resides.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is good. However, Character association studies in F <sub>2</sub> generation of Rice ( <i>Oryza sativa</i> L.). will be better	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Not at all. I suggest addition on some points on residual effect mentioning of just value is not enough.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It's good.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is giving information on fundamental and basic knowledge of yield improvement in rice. The work is new one and the data is presented in good manners. The scientific community will be enriched by publishing this article because the data were collected from F <sub>2</sub> generation, where the maximum variability resides.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author should include the meant table of all the characters studied with proper explanation to make this article more informative.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Awaneet Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Agriculture &amp; Research Station, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, India</b>