

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124915
Title of the Manuscript:	CELL DEATH MECHANISMS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The "Cell Death Mechanisms" is a short resumé of a very large scientific subject. As such it does not contribute any new information, and the general impression is that of an expanded article in "Wikipedia". It may serve as a good reference in a biology course.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive. The sentence: "In order to maintain this mechanism, it is destroyed by various cell death mechanisms" does not make sense, it should be eliminated or replaced with something making more sense.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure is suitable	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Basically scientifically correct. However, there are some important caveats: Almost all the text is about eucaryotes, and <i>S. cerevisiae</i> is a eucaryote, not a procaryote. There are sentences that don't make sense. The sentence mentioned in the comments on the abstract is found elsewhere in the text.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English is passable	
Optional/General comments	The article is not better than some recent reviews on cell death, so it contributes more to the authors' list of publications than to the advancement of science.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kjeld C. Engvild
Department, University & Country	Risø National Laboratory, Denmark