

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_125982
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of major abiotic factors on the population trend of <i>Tetranychus urticae</i> Koch on okra at Samastipur, Bihar
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Okra is cultivated during spring, summer, and rainy seasons in different parts of India. It is infested by various insect and mite pests. Among various pests, the two-spotted spider mite <i>Tetranychus urticae</i> Koch causes 20 to 50% yield loss depending on seasons and varieties. Knowledge of the seasonal incidence of <i>T. urticae</i> and the correlation of its population with weather parameters helps in easier management of the pest. So, this manuscript is important for the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. I have made some modifications to the manuscript file.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The data and the methodologies are ok. So, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It will be better if they add some recent references in the discussion and discuss more. Make references according to the guidelines.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I have marked many changes that will improve the quality of the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Read the changes and comments carefully and make accordingly. Also, check the guidelines and make accordingly. Read some related papers and check the discussion and improve.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manoj Kumar Jena
Department, University & Country	Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Poland