

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_125591
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of different aged Jackfruit (Artocarpus heterophyllus L.) rootstocks on softwood grafting success under different environmental conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is very much useful to the farming community by acknowledging the farmers regarding the best rootstocks that are suitable for cultivation under different situations and by providing them the best planting materials.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article was apt	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract was comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript were appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Improvisation and Framing of the sentences should be slightly changed in the introduction. The research was scientifically robust as the experimentation was done practically and relevant findings were mentioned correctly	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Sufficient literature was cited but it would be more effective if the recent last five years studies were included	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tadepalli Yamini
Department, University & Country	Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, India