

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_125001
Title of the Manuscript:	Detection of mutated erg11 and fks1 genes among resistant Candida species isolated in pregnant women in Mbarara, Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think this research addresses a real problem for an important group in society that is pregnant women. In addition, it addresses basis of the problem of that causes candida's resistance to antibiotics.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is considered scientifically correct because it depend on experience and conclusion. In addition to using reliable tools to support the outcome of this research,	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fatima Riyadh Abd-Alwahed
Department, University & Country	College of Pharmacy, Mustansiriyah University, Iraq