

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_124706
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Case of Massive Haemoptysis due to aneurysm of left pulmonary artery in patient of COVID 19 with invasive fungal infection</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the article is suitable but too long and does not really pique the attention from the reader. An alternative to the current title could possibly be - "Massive haemoptysis in a Covid patient with invasive fungal infection – who's the real culprit?" OR "Unearthing the reason behind massive haemoptysis in a Covid patient with invasive fungal infection"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract too short. Needs to be a bit more comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The complete patient details as well as the management given are missing. There is not enough review of literature done regarding this case. Structure wise additions are required: 1. Introduction: a. Incidence and prevalence of haemoptysis in Covid19 patients and how many of them have turned fatal. b. How many Covid19 associated haemoptysis cases are secondary to vascular aneurysm? 2. Case report: a. What was the initial presentation of the patient? Mention the signs and symptoms b. Was an Xray chest done? Please mention its findings c. If the patient had other co-morbidities or not is not mentioned. d. How was the epistaxis managed? e. Non-mucor fungus culture/sensitivity report as well as histopathology not mentioned. Nor is its actual diagnosis mentioned – a microscopic picture of the fungus identified will make the case more interesting and appealing to the audience. f. Management following the findings on CT PNS not mentioned g. Patient's condition at the time of discharge as well as final diagnosis not mentioned. 3. Discussion: a. Too short. Requires to be a bit more comprehensive with some review of literature regarding the case. b. How many post covid pseudoaneurysms reported in literature before this case, their management as well as the survival of these patients? 4. Conclusion: Appropriate. No changes required.	

### Review Form 3

	<p>If the above-mentioned changes are made by the authors, the manuscript can be considered for a re-review and subsequently, fit for publication.</p> <p>The authors may go through a similar case report regarding invasive mycosis in Covid19 patient for their literature review on the topic here: <a href="https://doi.org/10.21276/apalm.3294">https://doi.org/10.21276/apalm.3294</a>.</p>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes.	
<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	A lot of grammatical errors and spelling mistakes. If they are rectified, the article is suitable for publication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Article may be considered for publication following rectification to the reviewer's comments.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Toyaja Jadhav</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, India</b>