

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_124706
Title of the Manuscript:	A Case of Massive Haemoptysis due to aneurysm of left pulmonary artery in patient of COVID 19 with invasive fungal infection
Type of the Article	Case study

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a case study Massive Haemoptysis due to aneurysm of left pulmonary artery in patient of COVID 19 with invasive fungal infection.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, The author has not represented the outcome of the case study in the abstract. It looks like introduction. The author has cited the abstract, big mistake, How they can cite the abstract, it means the case study is copied and not original.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The discussion is very poor. There is no correlation between the data given in the table and discussion part. There should be proper justification regarding the outcome with the investigation. The Table 1 and Figure 1 is not cited in the text. The discussion part should also be correlated with similar type of previous investigations and how the recent outcome was similar of differed from the earlier investigations. There is abbreviated forms used in the manuscript, the author has not used full form at least once.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, there should be more content should be on Hemoptysis and should be cited properly.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English should be improved.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Devesh U Kapoor
Department, University & Country	Gujarat Technological University, India