

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_125893
Title of the Manuscript:	USE OF MEAT INDUSTRY BY-PRODUCTS IN PET FOOD INDUSTRY; PROSPECTS AND SUSTAINABILITY FOR STARTUPS IN INDIA: A Review
Type of the Article	Review article

Comment [H1]: Correct the cases in the title.
Make it uniform case.

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a very important aspect and an interesting topic. The authors have tried to give a brief idea. However, there are some improvements required: 1. The last paragraph of the introduction needs to be improved. 2. A table showing some more research findings may be included in the text. 3. Mention about key players in the market and their pet food products is required. 4. Writing about the values for standard nutrient content is required. 4. Reference section needs to be corrected as per the individual comments. 5. Add some more and recent references.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is good. No modification needed.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	1. Need to include some sub-sections and facts inside sub-sections as commented inside the manuscript. 2. Avoid using bullet points. Instead write in paragraphs wherever commented.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors have tried to review briefly. The article looks structured, correct and technically sound. However, adding the required information as commented in the manuscript and vivid review of some more recent literatures will strengthen this review paper.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Very old references are cited in the article. The authors must focus on citing more numbers of recent references. Very few references included in the review paper make it weak. Vivid review of some more recent literatures will strengthen this review paper.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	This article may be accepted after major revision.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Annada Das
Department, University & Country	WBUAFS, India