

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_126112
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Vulvovaginal Candidiasis in Fertile and Sub-fertile Women in Port Harcourt Nigeria: A Retrospective Study
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This document highlights that vulvovaginal candidiasis (VVC) is common in both fertile and sub-fertile women in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. It looks at factors like age, marital status, and childbirth history to show how VVC rates vary among different groups. The findings can help healthcare providers develop better ways to prevent and treat VVC. However, more research on risk factors, Candida speciation, resistance patterns, and long-term effects is needed to understand how recurrent VVC affects fertility and pregnancy. This can lead to more personalized treatment plans.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes. It is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please ensure that the Materials and Methods section includes the study's duration and methodologies and details about the statistical analysis used to assess significance. Also, please make the results more precise by including statistical significance.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript structure would benefit from a few revisions. Specifically, the Material and Methods section should not include unnecessary region and geography details. Additionally, the statistical analysis should be explained in the methodology section before being discussed in the results. It would also be beneficial to include concise demographic data using tables to enhance clarity and understanding.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript would greatly benefit from the addition of crucial data such as species identification and antifungal susceptibility patterns. As recurrent VVC is frequently seen in patients with resistant Candida species like Candida glabrata and Candida krusei, including this information would significantly enhance the manuscript's value. Additionally, providing insights into species-wise distribution and its prevalence would elevate the article's credibility and technical rigor.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes. The references are sufficient and recent.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Kindly correct a few spell-check errors.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lavanya Balaji
Department, University & Country	Saveetha University, India