

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_126112
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Vulvovaginal Candidiasis in Fertile and Sub-fertile Women in Port Harcourt Nigeria: A Retrospective Study
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think this research addresses a real problem for an important group in society. In addition, it addresses basis of the problem of that causes effect of candidiasis on fertility.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is considered scientifically correct because it depend on experience and conclusion. In addition to using reliable tools to support the outcome of this research,	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	No, there are no ethical issues in this manuscript No plagiarism suspected No competing interest issues in this manuscript	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fatima Riyadh Abd-Alwahed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Pharmacy, Mustansiriyah University, Iraq</b>