

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_125077
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICE OF CERVICAL CANCER SCREENING AMONG STUDENTS IN A TETIARY INSTITUTION IN SOUTHERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Cervical cancer is a public health priority. The study is relevant as in LMICs OOPE is high and timely screening can improve health outcomes and reduce OOPE. Screening practice can be influenced by KAP a lot.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Can be better, please follow the comments	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The methodology can be better, please follow the comments. Others are good, especially authors who tried to explain things transparently as it seemed and are really praiseworthy. But certain methodological aspects need to be addressed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Seems ok.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English, especially readability and grammar can be better.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manoj Ghosh
Department, University & Country	India