

Effect of Application of Chitosan on Growth and Vigour of Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) grafts Cv. Alphonso

ABSTRACT

An experiment on effect of application of chitosan on growth and vigour of mango grafts cv. Alphonso was conducted at Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Dapoli, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli during the year 2023-24. Seven treatments and 4 replications in Randomized Block Design viz., T1 Control – Soil + FYM (3:1), T2 (Chitosan 0.5 %), T3 (Chitosan 1.0 %), T4(Chitosan 1.5 %), T5 (Chitosan 2.0 %), T6 (Chitosan 2.5 %), T7 (Chitosan 3.0 %) with the aim to investigate effect of chitosan drenching on growth, vigour and survival of mango grafts cv. Alphonso. The highest survival percentage (99.13 %), height of the grafts (38.10 cm), number of shoots per graft (2.06 nos.), number of leaves per graft (32.13 nos.) leaf area (694.31 cm²) were recorded in treatment T5 i.e. Chitosan 2.0 %. The maximum stem girth (10.24 mm) found in treatment T7 i.e Chitosan 3.0 %.

Keywords: Chitosan; Mango; Grafts; Survival percentage; Vigour and growth.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mango is the most important commercial fruit crop and popularly known as the "King of Tropical Fruits" and it is the "National Fruit of India" [1] [2]. According to year 2024, in India 2.406 million ha area under cultivation of mango with 22.55 million MT production and 7.3 tonnes/ha productivity respectively [3]. In Maharashtra, the area under mango production is 4.85 lakh hectares with annual production is about 12.12 lakh metric tonnes and productivity is 2.5 tonnes/ha [4], while the area under mango in Konkan region is 1,00,000 ha. with production 50,000 MT and productivity is 3.12 tonnes/ha. [5]. The mango fruit is a good source of beta-carotene a precursor of vitamin A and rich in vitamin C. Ripe mangoes are not only delicious but also nutritional value [6] [7]. Mango farming requires loamy, alluvial, well-drained, aerated, and deep soils rich in organic matter with a pH range of 5.5 to 7.5. The ideal temperature for mango is 24 to 30 °C during the growing season, along with high humidity [8].

Since mango has been under cultivation in India since ancient times, numerous cultivars are known to exist in India. All have probably originated as superior-chance seedlings arising from natural crossing or gene mutation [8]. These superior plants were later maintained and perpetuated true to type through asexual propagation. Mango is commercially propagated by grafting. Dr. B.S.K.K.V. has standardized the stone grafting technique in mango. In the Konkan region, a large number of mango stone grafts are prepared annually. The quality of mango graft is of prime importance. Farmers need healthy and vigorous grafts. The Konkan belt is regarded as a key provider of highest-quality, disease-free planting material for all main mango cultivars like Alphonso, Kesar, Ratna, Sindhu, etc. There are currently around 250 fruit crop nurseries licensed in Konkan, generating 10 to 12 lakh sealable mango grafts every year [9] [10].

Chitosan has gained popularity in recent years as an environmentally friendly approach to controlling diseases and imparting vigor to the plant. It helps to improve the performance of nursery grafts. It functions as a plant growth promoter in various crops. As chitosan molecules are extremely hydrophilic, they reduce stress damage in plant cells by decreasing water content and accelerating several biological macromolecule activities. Among the most documented properties of chitosan is its effective antimicrobial activity against a wide range of microorganisms, including fungi, bacteria, viruses, and nematodes. Chitosan has multiple antibacterial modes of action. Repeated application of growth promoters is essential to enhance sprouting, survival and growth of rooted black pepper cuttings [11]. The Konkan region of Maharashtra experiences high humidity and heavy rainfall during the monsoon, which can create favorable conditions for fungal and bacterial infections of plants, especially nursery grafts. These environmental factors increase the risk of graft failure due to infections with the grafts. Climate change can disrupt traditional mango cultivation practices in the Konkan region by altering temperature patterns, rainfall distribution, and pest dynamics. Unpredictable weather conditions may affect graft survival rates and overall mango production [12].

2. MATERIALS METHODOLOGY

The field trial was conducted at nursery No. 10, College of Horticulture, Dapoli, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli during the year 2023-24. It is located between 17° 45" North longitude and 73° 12" East longitude. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with seven treatments replicated four times. T1 Control – Soil + FYM (3:1), T2 Chitosan 0.5 %, T3 Chitosan 1.0 %, T4 Chitosan. 1.5 %, T5 Chitosan 2.0 %, T6 2.5 %, T7 3.0 %, The (30 /treatment/replication). Drenching of chitosan were applied at monthly interval while first drenching was given at first week August and subsequent drenching done at monthly interval up to 3 months. For control (T1) grafts without treatment. Graft height was measured by scale in centimeters. The final calculations were made using an average of five grafts. Total number of leaves per grafts were counted from the five selected observational grafts. The leaf area was measured by the area of triangle. After computing mean, it was recorded as total leaf area in square centimetre. The observations on number of shoots per grafts counted from selected five observational grafts and average number of shoots were recorded. The stem girth was measured by using digital vernier calliper mean of randomly selected five observational grafts were drawn. It was recorded as average girth of grafts in mm. At the end of the experiment (270 days after application), the number of alive grafts were used to calculate the survival percentage of the grafts. The number of grafts survived per treatment per replication were counted at 270 days after application and recorded in percentage. The data were statistically analyzed by the method suggested by Panse and Sukhatme [13].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result showed significant increase in graft height, number of leaves per graft and leaf area of mango grafts at 270 days after application (Table 1). The maximum graft height found in chitosan 2.0 % (T5- 38.10 cm) and it was closely followed by chitosan 2.5 % (T6- 35.59 cm). The lowest height of grafts (30.34 cm) recorded in treatment chitosan 1.0 % (T3). This might be due to the chitosan promotes growth of the plant which enhance the plant height. Besides, the chitosan carries 5 acetyl groups which activates plant immune system results in generation of reactive oxygen system that enhance the key enzyme activities of nitrogen metabolism which increases hormones like auxins and gibberellins. Physiological activities produce new shoots and leaves which triggered the process of photosynthesis resulted in accumulation of energy. Hence, the hormonal activities boost growth of grafts by cell elongation and cell enlargement.

Similar findings were observed by EI-Mniawy et al. [14] in strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa*) and Irawati et al. [15] in kemiri sunan [*Reutealistrisperma* (Blanco) Airy Shaw].

The highest number of leaves were recorded in chitosan treatment 2.0 % (T5- 32.13) which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments which was followed by chitosan treatment 2.5 % (T6- 31.61). The lower number of leaves were recorded in treatment chitosan 1.0 % (T3- 27.28) This might be due to the proper equilibrium of nutrients and moisture resulted in faster growth and physiological activities which produces new shoots. New growth started by the forces for cell division and cell elongation which was favoured by auxins and IAA pathways that increases photosynthesis and accumulation of carbohydrates. Hence, these effects indicate the increase in number of leaves.

The present results are accordance with findings of Hussein and Radwan [16] in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) and EI-Miniawy et al. [14] in strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa*).

Among all treatments, drenching chitosan 2.0 % recorded maximum total leaf area (T5- 694.31 cm²) which was statistically meritorious over all the treatments. It was followed by chitosan treatment 2.5 % (T6- 633.32 cm²) This results owing to the growth is attributed by various hormonal activities and changes with the nitrogen metabolism in grafts which enhances nitrogen content in leaf that increases photosynthetically active leaves. However, photosynthetically active leaves produced more quantity of enzymes which accelerate cell division and expansion of leaf.

The similar findings were reported by Hussein and Radwan [16] in mango (*Mangifera indica* nigrum L.) and Nithin et al. [17] in strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa*).

The highest number of shoots per grafts was found in chitosan % (T5- 2.06) and it was followed by chitosan 2.5 % (T6- 1.99) and chitosan 3.0 % (T7- 1.97). The lowest number of shoots per graft (1.68) recorded in control-soil + FYM (3:1) treatment (T1) This might be due to the enhancement impact of chitosan on number of shoots may be due to carbon sources in the polymer stimulating the growth of beneficial microbes in the soil. The microbial growth accelerated the transferring processes of organic matter into inorganic forms and facilitating the root system of plants to absorb more nutrients from the soil and stimulating plant growth. Moreover, chitosan may also be

attributed to the promoting effects on nutrient uptake and nutritional status; nitrogen, potassium and phosphorous especially result in higher graft growth which enhance the shoot growth in graft.

The present findings were similar to the research findings recorded by Mondal et al. [18] in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.), Farouk and Amany [19] in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) and Irawati et al. [15] in kemiri sunan [*Reutealistrisperma* (Blanco) Airy Shaw].

The maximum stem girth was recorded in chitosan treatment 3.0 % (T7- 10.24 mm) which was at par with chitosan treatments (T6- 10.18 mm and T5- 9.69 mm). the lowest stem girth was found in treatment chitosan 1.0 % (T3- 8.33 mm). this might be due to the chitosan mineralized organic nutrients into inorganic form which was efficiently taken through root by absorption. It helped in cell division and cell elongation that time this phloem tissues enlarges their size for translocate the flow of nutrients, sugars and carbohydrates from root to shoot and vice versa.

Similar results were reported by Edirimanna et al. [20] in jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus* L.) and Irawati et al. [15] in kemiri sunun [*Reutealistrisperma* (Blanco) Airy Shaw].

Drenching various concentrations of chitosan showed significant effect on survival percentage of mango grafts cv. Aphonso. Result from the present investigation revealed that the highest survival was observed in treatment chitosan 2.0 % (T5- 99.13 %) which was statistically superior over all the treatments. It was followed by treatment chitosan 2.5 % (T6- 97.92 %). The lowest survival percentage (79.50 %) was recorded in T1, which was significantly lower than all the other treatments. This might be due to, the chitosan has antibacterial, antifungal property and wound healing capacity which made strong graft union by graft healing ultimately the highest survival percentage. The overall performance in relation to growth parameters of shoot were significantly better in treatment T5 i.e. drenching chitosan 2.0 % which ultimately increased survival percentage.

Similar results expressed by Edirimanna et al. [20] in jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus* L.).

Table 1. Effect of chitosan drenching on graft height, number of leaves per graft and leaf area of mango grafts at 270 days after application

Treatments	Graft height (cm)	Number of leaves per graft	Leaf area (cm ²)
------------	-------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------

T1- Control (soil + FYM)	31.74	28.33	460.64
T2- Chitosan 0.5 %	31.29	28.96	460.85
T3- Chitosan 1.0 %	30.34	27.28	450.25
T4- Chitosan 1.5 %	33.83	28.05	525.99
T5- Chitosan 2.0 %	38.10	32.13	694.31
T6- Chitosan 2.5 %	35.59	31.61	633.32
T7- Chitosan 3.0 %	34.62	30.74	614.49
Mean	33.64	32.51	548.63
Range	30.38-38.10	27.28-32.13	450.25-694.31
S. Em. \pm	0.91	0.17	1.23
CD at 5 %	2.69	0.51	3.65
Result	SIG	SIG	SIG

Table 2. Effect of drenching mango grafts with different concentrations of chitosan on survival percentage, number of shoots and stem girth.

Treatments	Number of shoots	Stem girth	Survival (%)
T1- Control (soil + FYM)	1.68	9.29	79.50 (63.07) *
T2- Chitosan 0.5 %	1.72	9.26	94.25 (76.12)
T3- Chitosan 1.0 %	1.70	8.33	93.17 (74.84)
T4- Chitosan 1.5 %	1.79	9.02	95.08 (77.18)
T5- Chitosan 2.0 %	2.06	9.69	99.13 (84.63)
T6- Chitosan 2.5 %	1.99	10.18	97.92 (81.70)
T7- Chitosan 3.0 %	1.97	10.24	96.17 (78.70)
Mean	1.84	9.43	99.52
Range	1.68-2.06	8.33-10.24	79.50-99.13
S. Em. \pm CD at 5 %	0.05	0.19	0.74
Result	0.16	0.56	2.19
Result	SIG	SIG	SIG

Conclusion

Among different treatments, drenching chitosan 2.0 % (T5) at monthly interval was recorded the maximum height of grafts, number of leaves per graft leaf area, number of shoots per graft and survival percentage and for stem girth chitosan 3.0 % (T7) was recorded highest. Thus, on the basis of results revealed from above investigation, it can be concluded that treatment T5 (chitosan 2.0 %) gave excellent results for better growth performance of grafts in mango over rest of treatments in this study.

Disclaimer (Artificial Intelligence)

Authors here by declare that No generative AI technologies such as large language models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. De Candolle, A.D.E. (1904). *Origin of cultivated plants*. London Kegan Paul. pp. 8.
2. Mukharjee, S.K. (1951). Origin of Mango. *Ind. J. Genet. Pt. Breed.*, **11**:49-56.
3. Anonymous, (2024). Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare. Govt. of India. <https://pib.gov.in> Accessed on dated 15.04.2024.
4. Anonymous (2023). www.mr.vikaspedia.in/agriculture/crop-production/-mango-present status and future production. Accessed on dated. 18.08.2024.
5. Ganeshmurthy, A.N., Ravindra V., Rupa. T.R. and Bhatt, R.M. (2019). Carbon sequestration potential of mango orchards in the tropical hot and humid climate of Konkan region, India. *Current sciences*, **116**(8):1417-1423.
6. Anonymous (1966). Special Report Series No. 4, ICMR, New Delhi.
7. Marianna Luaricella, Sonia Emanuele, Giuseppe Calvaruso, Michela Giuliano, Antonella D'Anneo (2017). Multifaceted health benefits of *Mangifera indica* L. (Mango): The inestimable value of orchards recently planted in Sicilian rural areas. *Nutrients*, **9**(5):525-529.
8. Bose, T.K., Mitra, S.K., Farooqi, A.A. and Sadhu, M.K. (1999). Mango. *In*. S.K. Sadhu (Eds.), *Tropical Horticulture*, (Vol. 1), Naya Prokash 206 Bidhan Sarani Calcutta 700 006, India. pp. 178-194.
9. Lad, O.A. (2018). Effect of different potting mixtures on survival and growth of mango cv. Alphonso grafts. M.Sc. Agri thesis submitted to Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli (unpublished.)
10. Haldavnekar, P.C., Kulkarni, M.M., Ragii, S.G., Lad, O.A., Jadhav, A.P., Padge, V.V., Kolekar, D.T., Pawar, C.D., Salvi, B.R., Khandekar, R.G., Dalvi, N.V., Malshe, K.V., Haldankar, P.M., Nayak, M.G., Patil, P., Nagvekar, D.D., Gunjate, R.T., Burondkar, M.M., Joshi, M.S., Desai, V.S. and Chavan, S.A. (2020). Clonal multiplication of healthy planting material for successful establishment of orchards under changing climate of Coastal Maharashtra. *Adv. Agril. Res. and tech. J.*, **IV**(1):63-72.
11. Chung, Y., Su, Y., Chen, C., Jia, G., Wang, H., Wu, J.C.G., and Lin, J. (2004). Relationship between antibacterial activity of chitosan and surface characteristics of cell wall. *Act Pharmacological Sinica*, **25**(7):932-936.
12. Maria Stasiriska-Jakubas and Barbara Hawrylak-Nowak (2022). Protective, biostimulating and eliciting effects of chitosan and its derivative on crop plants. *Molecules*, **27**:2-17.
13. Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme P.V. (1995). *Statistical methods for agricultural workers*. Indian Council of Agric. Res., New Delhi. pp. 123-159.

14. El-Miniawy, S.M., Ragab, M.E., Youssef, S.M. and Metwally, A.A. (2013). Response of strawberry plants for foliar spraying of chitosan. *Res. J. Agric. and Bio. Sci.*, **9**(6):366-372.
15. Irawati, E.B. Sasmita, E.R. and Suryawati, A. (2019). Application of chitosan for vegetative growth of Kemiri Sunan plant in marginal land. *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.*, **250**:1-7.
16. Hussein, H.M. Saied and Radwan, E.M.A. (2017). Insight into the effect of chitosan on growth and fruiting of succary mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) trees. *J. Product and Dev.*, 718-793.
17. Nithin, K.M., Madaiah, D., Dinesh, K.M., Dhananjaya, B.C., Shivakumar, B.S. and Sahana, B.J. (2020). Effect of chitosan application on growth and yield attributes of strawberry (*Fragaria X anassa* Duch.) under naturally ventilated polyhouse *J. Pharmacognosy and Phytochem.*, **9**(5):1117-1120.
18. Mondal, M.M.A., Malek, A., Puteh, A. and Ashrafuzzaman, M. (2012). Effect of foliar application of chitosan on growth and yield in okra. *Aus. J. Crop Sci.*, **6**(5):918-921.
19. Farouk, S. and Amany, R. (2012). Improving growth and yield of cowpea by foliar application of chitosan under water stress. *Egyptian J. Bio.*, **14**:14-26.
20. Edirimanna, E.R.S.P., Sanjeewa, K.K.A., Rajapakse, R.G.A.S., ShyamaleeKohombange, Rathnayke, A.K., De Silva and K.R.C., Dissanayake (2019). Effect of chitosan on growth parameters of rootstock and grafting success of Jack fruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*) variety Father Long. *Int. J. Scie. And Res. Pub.*, **9**:592-598.