

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_125185
Title of the Manuscript:	Dietary Ecology of Predatory Insects: Examining the Role of Prey and Non-Prey Foods of Ladybird Beetle
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. Yes</p>		
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? OK (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. Yes</p>	<p>- Abstract: remove the colon from the subtitle. In the key words, the colon is missing, and remove the comma at the end of the key words.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>- Introduction: remove the colon from the subtitle. A full stop is left out (line 8 of the third paragraph). The initial letter of the classifier is not italicised (line 7 of the fifth paragraph), and the verb highlighted in yellow is not italicised, as it is repeated. In italics the scientific name highlighted in yellow (line 8 of the fifth paragraph). Revise the references highlighted in yellow, as the year is in front (line 10 of the fifth paragraph). Revise the reference highlighted in yellow (line 6 of the sixth paragraph). Revise the word highlighted in yellow (line 2 of paragraph 8). Revise the order of the reference highlighted in yellow (lines 7 and 8 of paragraph 10). Incorrectly dimensioned the three references highlighted in yellow (line 3 of section 11). Check all the scientific names in table 5, as they are in italics, and when the genera are repeated, it is sufficient to use the initial letter of the genus followed by a full stop, and see the case of the genus <i>Apis</i>, as well as the surname of say, which is with a capital s.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. Yes</p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rigoberto Fimia Duarte
Department, University & Country	University of Medical Sciences of Villa Clara, Cuba