

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Plant Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_125185
Title of the Manuscript:	Dietary Ecology of Predatory Insects: Examining the Role of Prey and Non-Prey Foods of Ladybird Beetle
Type of the Article	Review Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study of insect nutrition and dietary ecology is important for understanding the biology of insects and their role in ecosystem. Dietary ecology of predatory insects is crucial in optimizing biological pest control and appreciating the broader impacts of pest management strategies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of article is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The Abstract of article is clear	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There is a need of separate Discussion section before Conclusion. Except this overall we can consider	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	From a scientific point of view the manuscript is good. The topic is good, the approach is pragmatic and precise and well systematized and bring useful information, in pest control strategies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Complete Reference section needs rearrange, a lot of unnecessary references which have not discussed in this manuscripts are present in reference section. And so many references which are discussed in manuscripts but are not available in reference section, Kindly strictly follow each and every reference as per comment of entire manuscript.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Average	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rakhshan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Fatima Degree College, Maulana Mazharul Haque Arabic and Persian University, India</b>