

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_124390
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF LEVELS OF SULPHUR AND PHOSPHORUS ON YIELD AND QUALITY OF SOYBEAN GROWN IN INCEPTISOL
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The study includes the effect of adding different rates of essential nutrients for plants and determining their impact on the productivity and some yield characteristics of soybean plants. In light of the low sulfur content in the soil and moderate levels of phosphorus, the researcher was able to identify the optimal rates of sulfur and phosphorus to achieve the highest productivity and quality traits. The study provided a scientific methodology to increase productivity per unit area without wasting excessive and costly inputs for farmers.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>No</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract needs to be rephrased and must include some important characteristics that the researcher obtained from the addition of the treatments, mentioning these treatments that yielded the best results.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The manuscript needs some formatting and support with modern references.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is acceptable both technically and scientifically, as it is well-written, though it does require some improvements. It also addresses an important issue: The study provided a scientific methodology to increase productivity per unit area without wasting excessive and costly inputs for farmers</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References are old and its need to update</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There are some corrections that researchers should pay attention to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ It is possible to plot grains and straw and determine the statistical analysis on the graph using the Duncan test. ▪ It is preferable to mention soil analysis methods. ▪ Also, methods for estimating oil, chlorophyll, protein, and some other measurements. No method was mentioned? ▪ What are the optimal limits of oil, for example in soybeans? ▪ The statistical analysis program used must be mentioned. ▪ Methods of adding mineral fertilizer must also be mentioned ▪ Add recent references ▪ Methods and introduction are brief. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashraf Ahmed Mohamed Habib
Department, University & Country	Desert Research Centre, Egypt