

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_124401
Title of the Manuscript:	Sacoglottis gabonensis Aqueous Extract reduces Weight Gain in Aspartame-Exposed Swiss Mice".
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript presents research of interest to the scientific community. It is an innovative approach that could contribute to combating excess weight caused by various synthetic products.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Inhibitive effects of aqueous extracts of Sacoglottis gabonensis on weight gain in Swiss mice exposed to aspartame	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Authors should strengthen the abstract in the methodology section. The methodological approach used should be more explicit. This concerns the treatments as well as the statistical tests applied.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The authors combined the results with the discussion. With this style of writing, each result should be immediately discussed before moving on to another result. It seemed to me that the results were presented first, followed by discussions later. I suggest that the authors separate these two parts, a results part, then a discussion part.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript presents statistical tests that were not mentioned. This constitutes a methodological weakness. This situation makes the scientific relevance of the presented results difficult.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Bibliographic references are sufficient	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language level is good	
Optional/General comments	No comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gnagbo Anthelme
Department, University & Country	Jean Lorougnon Guede University, Côte d'Ivoire