Original Research Article

Effects of Natural Carotenoid EnrichedFeed on the Growth, Flesh Colour and Composition, and Palatability of a Silver Barb (*Barbonymusgonionotus*)

UNDER PEER REVIEW

ABSTRACT

Aims: The study compared the effects of different natural carotenoid-enriched feeds with a commercial carp feed on the growth, flesh colour and composition, and palatability of *B. gonionotus*.

Study design: The study was conducted with four types of feed treated as four treatments. A commercial carp grower feed was used as the control feed (CF). Three types of experimental feed were formulated by adding the powder of carotenoid sources, viz., tomato (TMP), carrot (CRP), and beetroot (BRP) in the commercial feed at a rate of 2% per kg. These four treatments were arranged in a complete randomized design across the settled cages. Fifteen juveniles *of B. gonionotus* were stocked in each cage and served a daily ration of 5% body weight.

Place and Duration of Study: The trial was conducted in 12 cages set in a pond at the Department of Fisheries, University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh, for 90 days.

Methodology: Thegrowth and feed utilization parameters, viz., weight gain (MWG), specific growth rate (SGR), feed conversion ratio (FCR), and protein efficiency ratio (PER), total carotenoid content, flesh composition (crude protein, lipid, carbohydrate, ash, and moisture content), along with palatability indicators (odour, taste, and texture) of the fish were evaluated according to standard formulae, spectrophotometry, AOAC, and organoleptic sensory technique, respectively.

Results: Carotenoids-enriched feed exhibited better growth and feed utilization compared to the CF. The higher MWG and SGR, better FCR and PER, and the higher carotenoids content were found in the fish fed with carrot-enriched feed, followed by the fish fed with tomato-enriched feed and beetroot-enriched feed. No significant difference in the flesh composition was found among the treatments. A significantly higher organoleptic sensory score was recorded in the fish fed with carrot-enriched feed.

Conclusion: The study concludes that 2% carrot powder supplementation in feed is better for enhancing the growth, flesh colour, and palatability *of B. gonionotus*.

Keywords: *Carotenoid, Feed, Growth, Flesh Quality, Palatability, Silver barb*

1. INTRODUCTION

Aquaculture is the dynamic, promising, and fast-growing economy sector in Bangladesh. It plays an important role in the socio-economic and livelihood development of the rural population in Bangladesh (Mazid, 2002). Nowadays, feed-based aquaculture with a high density of fish is increasing in the country. This high-density aquaculture practice subsequently leads to an unprecedented demand for feed (Khatun *et al*., 2017). To meet the increasing demand for feed, a lot of feed industries have expanded in the country. Disappointingly, most of the manufacturers fail to provide quality feed owing to a lack of quality feed ingredients, the use of contaminated ingredients, and other factors (Khatun *et al*., 2017). Moreover, most of the manufacturers have used despicable sources of ingredients to increase the protein content in the feed, which may improve growth but deteriorate the flesh quality of fish.

Bangladesh has achieved self-sufficiency in fish production in recent times (DoF, 2020), the future of aquaculture research lies in sustainable production methods, value addition, and quality enhancement of the products. As fish meal and fish oil are the main protein and lipid sources of aquafeed, aquaculture production will likely face major sustainability challenges in the future. This has led to an increased focus on locally sourced plant-based feed ingredients to create sustainable aquafeed. However, the ever-increasing demand for fish feed necessitates that every possible natural resource be considered as a potential feed ingredient. Hence, the available natural sources of carotenoid can be used as a feed ingredient that promotes growth and improves the colour, quality, and odour of fish flesh, which ultimately increases the market demand and value of the fish. Many vibrantly coloured vegetables, such as carrots (Bozalan and Karadeniz, 2011), tomatoes (Martí *et al*., 2016), and beetroot (Sentkowska and Pyrzyńska, 2023) are rich sources of carotenoids.

Being an agriculturally enriched country, Bangladesh produces a significant amount of vegetables year-round, where the post-harvest loss of agricultural crops prevails as a major

UNDER PEER REVIEW

challenge to overcome (Noman, 2021). Additionally, a large amount of production during the peak production season leads to a significant devaluation of the crops as well. Under such circumstances, the addition of agricultural crops as potential ingredient sources in fish feed manufacturing can be beneficial for both sectors. Furthermore, the demand and consumer preference for fish and fish products are not only dependent on geographic location and socio-cultural norms (Pieniak*et al*., 2011) but also driven by the smell, taste, and colouration of that product (Uddin *et al*., 2019). Colouration and smell may have both psychological and physiological impacts on the mind and can dictate the buying behavior of consumers, which ultimately reflects in the market demand for fish (Kaushik, 2011). Chromatophores are primarily responsible for the colouration of fish, and they are broadly classified into four types, such as carotenoid, melanin, purin, and preidum (Hoar and Randall, 1992). Carotenoids produce a red-to-yellow colour in the tissue and skin of fish, and their presence can facilitate various physiological functions such as growth, survival rate, and disease resistance (Ezhil *et al*., 2008).

Farmed fish have no access to carotenoid-rich food, and therefore, the necessary carotenoids must be added to the diet (Gupta *et al*., 2007). The loss of pigments due to inadequate carotenoid-enriched diets can be overcome by their addition to the artificial diet. Several studies have addressed this issue and evaluated different potential carotenoid sources like tomato, carrot, beetroot, red pepper, and spirulina (Swian*et al*., 2014; Lakshmi *et al*., 2015; Chow *et al*., 2017; Tiewsoh*et al*., 2019). Besides pigments, theses additives influence the flesh quality, survival, disease resistance, and growth of fish (Rema and Gouveia, 2005; Jha *et al*., 2012; Walaa *et al*., 2014).

For the utilization of natural carotenoid sources as feed additives, the addition of these sources in a commercial feed can be tested using a minor carp species, silver barb (*B. gonionotus*). Silver barb is one of the important cultural species in Bangladesh. It is a short-cycled, omnivorous species that can be farmed with relatively easy technology. The colourof

UNDER PEER REVIEW

this species is silvery-white. If natural carotenoid sources can be effectively used for the enhancement of the colour, growth, and flesh quality of this fish, that will boost its market demand and value. Although there are some research works on the effects of carotenoid sources on the growth and body compositions of various fish species all over the world (Teimouri *et al.,* 2013; Azab *et al.,* 2016; Maiti *et al*., 2017; Wagdee*t al*., 2018; Jorjani *et al*., 2019), no research works have been carried out to explore the effects of natural carotenoid sources on the growth and flesh quality of minor carp species. Therefore, the present study was conducted to evaluate the effects of natural carotenoid-enriched feeds on the growth, flesh colour and composition, and palatability of a silver barb (*B. gonionotus*).

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Site and Period

The study was conducted in 12 cages that were set in an earthen pond at the Department of Fisheries, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh, for a period of 3 months (July-September, 2022). Twelve iron-framed cages (each measuring 96 ft^3)were constructed and covered by a synthetic nylon knotless net of 5mm mesh size with an opening at the top for feeding and sampling purposes and with a suspended feeding tray hanging from the top.

2.2 Experimental Design

The feeding trial was conducted with four types of feed treated as four treatments. A commercial carp grower feed from the ACI group (Godrej) was used as the control feed (CF). Three types of experimental feed were formulated by adding the powder of three natural carotenoid sources, viz., tomato (TMP), carrot (CRP), and beetroot (BRP) in the commercial feed at a rate of 2% per kg. These four treatments were arranged in a complete randomized design (CRD) across the settled cages, with 3 replications for each.

2.3 Collection of Carotenoid Sources and Feed Preparation

Natural carotenoid sources, viz., tomato, carrot, and beetroot were collected from a local

UNDER PEER REVIEW

vegetable market called Shaheb Bazar, Rajshahi, Bangladesh. After collection, the carotenoid sources were washed, cut into thin slices, and dried under sunlight. After drying, the slices were ground, converted into powder, and stored in a polythene bag for subsequent use. For feed preparation, a little water was added to the commercial feed to make it soft and malleable. Then fixed rates of carotenoid sources were added and thoroughly mixed to make dough. Then the dough was extruded with a pelleting machine to convert it into pellets. Pellets were then dried under sunlight. After drying, the pellets were packed in labeled polythene bags, sealed, and stored at -4°C until used. The proximate composition of the feeds was evaluated according to AOAC (2005). The proximate analysis of the feeds exhibited no statistically significant difference among them, and the values were more or less isoproteinic and isolipidic in composition (Table 1).

Table 1. Proximate composition of the feeds (% wet basis)

Component	CF	TMP	CRP	BRP
Moisture	13.18 ± 0.50[a]	13.51 ± 0.41[a]	13.44 ± 0.78[a]	13.36 ± 0.35[a]
Protein	22.45 ± 0.21[a]	22.59 ± 0.31[a]	22.47 ± 0.18[a]	22.51 ± 0.25[a]
Lipid	6.22 ± 0.47[a]	6.35 ± 0.30[a]	6.18 ± 0.42[a]	6.57 ± 0.15[a]
Ash	9.70 ± 0.35[a]	9.01 ± 0.23[a]	9.22 ± 0.18[a]	9.26 ± 0.14[a]
Fiber	8.34 ± 0.17[a]	8.26 ± 0.09[a]	8.45 ± 0.12[a]	8.14 ± 0.15[a]
Carbohydrate	43.11 ± 0.60[a]	43.28 ± 0.52[a]	43.24 ± 0.41[a]	43.16 ± 0.25[a]

**CF = Control feed; TMP = Tomato powder enriched feed; CRP = Carrot powder enriched feed; BRP = Beetroot powder enriched feed.*

**Values in the same row with same superscripts are not significantly different (P=0.05).*

2.4 Collection of Experimental Fish and Rearing

One hundred and eighty juveniles of silver barb (more or less similar size and weight) were purchased from a local fish farmer, and were transported in the presence of an aeration system, and acclimatized in a circular cemented tank for a week. After the acclimation

UNDER PEER REVIEW

period, fifteen fish were randomly distributed among four treatments (CF, TMP, CRP, and BRP). During the whole feeding trial, fish were fed twice daily at 5% of body weight, and the fish were weighed fortnightly for ration adjustment.

2.5 Monitoring Water Quality Parameters

During the experimental period, water temperature, pH, DO, total alkalinity, and NH_3-N were measured fortnightly with standard methods. There was no significant difference in water quality parameters among the treatments, and were within the productive range.

2.6 Analysis of Growth and Feed Utilization

At the end of the feeding trial, the final weights of the fish were measured individually by electric balance. Growth performance and feed utilization were evaluated by means of weight gain (WG), percentage weight gain (PWG), specific growth rate (SGR), survival rate (SR), feed conversion ratio (FCR), and protein efficiency ratio (PER) according to the standard formulae.

$$\text{MWG} = \text{Mean Final Weight (MFW)} - \text{Mean Initial Weight (MIW)}$$

$$\text{SGR} = \frac{\ln(\text{MFW}) - \ln(\text{MIW})}{\text{Culture Duration (Days)}} \times 100$$

$$\text{SR} = \frac{\text{No. of Fish Harvested}}{\text{No. of Fish Stocked}} \times 100$$

$$\text{FCR} = \frac{\text{Feed Fed}}{\text{Live Weight Gain}}$$

$$\text{PER} = \frac{\text{Live Weight Gain}}{\text{Protein Fed}}$$

2.7 Carotene Content Analysis

The fresh flesh samples of the fish were weighted and ground by mortar and pestle using 90% methanol. After grinding, the sample mixtures were subsequently centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 12 minutes, and the supernatant was transferred into another centrifuge tube. Finally, the optical density of the supernatant was recorded at 662 nm, 653 nm, and 470 nm

UNDER PEER REVIEW

by using a spectrophotometer (Analytic Gena). The total concentration of carotenoids was measured using the following equation (Lichtenthaler and Wellburn, 1983).

$$Ca = 15.65\,A666 - 7.340\,A653$$

$$Cb = 27.05\,A653 - 11.21\,A666$$

$$\text{Total carotene (mg/g)} = \frac{1000A470 - 2.86Ca - 129.2Cb}{245}$$

Where, A_{666} = OD at 666 nm, A_{653}= OD at 653 nm and A_{470}= OD at 470 nm

2.8 Proximate Analysis of Fish Flesh

The proximate analysis by means of crude protein, lipid, carbohydrate, fiber, moisture, and ash content in the flesh of fish was determined using the standard method (AOAC, 2005).

2.9 Palatability Test

At first, fish collected from the four treatments were scaled, gutted, and cut into fish loins. After washing, 500g of fish flesh from each treatment was cooked following conventional procedures and spices. The fish loins from each treatment were marked and cooked together to avoid cooking bias. After cooking, the fish were served to an expert panel of 12 members for organoleptic (sensory) evaluation. After consuming the cooked flesh, the expert panelists gave their scores blindly on the flesh's odour, taste, and texture following the specific structured scaling system (Table 2) described by Huss (1995).

Table 2. Organoleptic scoring scale for palatability evaluation

Organoleptic Attributes			Score
Odor	**Taste**	**Texture**	
Species-specific	Meaty flavor	Firm/elastic	10
Fresh fish	Sweet	Firm/springy	8
Slightly fishy/ sour	Slightly fishy	Less firm	6
Sour and stale	Slight sour/off flavor	Softer	4
Strong ammonia	Slightly rotten	Very soft	2

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Rotten smell	Spoiled	Slippery	0

2.10 Statistical Analysis

After determining the normality of the data set through Levine's test, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to test for the level of significance using SPSS 21 (the statistical package for social science). The significant difference among the mean values was determined by Duncan's multiple range test at level 5% (*P=0.05*).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth Performance and Feed Utilization

The experimental fish fed with natural carotenoid-enriched feeds (TMP, CRP, and BRP) exhibited significantly better growth performance compared to the control fish (Table 3). The highest weight gain, percent weight gain, and SGR were recorded in the fish treated with CRP, followed by TMP and BRP. However, the growth performance of the fish treated with TMP and BRP didn't differ significantly from each other. Additionally, carotenoid supplementation didn't affect the survival rate of the fish across the treatments. The FCR and PER values in the fish of TMP, CRP, and BRP were found to be significantly better compared to the control fish, while the fish treated with CRP demonstrated the highest values in all these parameters (Table 3). Similar to the growth parameters, no significant difference was observed in the FCR values between TMP and BRP-treated fish. The PER values of TMP, CRP, and BRP-treated fish didn't show any significant difference among them as well.

According to the findings of the present study, the addition of natural carotenoid sources in the feed appeared to have positive effects on the growth performance and feed utilization of the fish.Based on the data, better growth performance (weight gain and SGR) and feed utilization (FCR) were recorded in the fish fed with carrot powder-treated feed (CRP),

UNDER PEER REVIEW

followed by tomato and beetroot powder-treated feed, whereas the fish treated with control feed showed lower growth performance and feed utilization. This result agrees with Maiti *et al.* (2017), where significantly better weight gain was reported in *Cyprinus carpio*by supplementingcarrot-treated feed, followed by tomato and beetroot-treated feed. Lakshmi et al. (2015) also reported an improved growth rate of Albino Tiger barb by using carrot-enriched feed compared to the dietary provision of beetroot. Supporting evidence of the current study has also been found in the reports of Swian*et al*. (2014), who studied the positive effects of natural carotenoids on the growth of Koi carp. The findings of the present study also coincide with the reports of Tiewsoh*et al.* (2019) and Hafeez-ur-Rehman *et al*. (2015), where the higher weight gain and specific growth rate of goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) were found in carrot powder-treated fish compared to the control fish (fed feed without CRP).

Table 3. Growth performance and Feed Utilization of the Fish

Parameter	CF	TMP	CRP	BRP
IW (g)	76.44 ± 6.51^{a}	75.31 ± 6.43^{a}	76.13 ± 4.80^{a}	76.06 ± 5.01^{a}
FW (g)	200.81 ± 10.20^{c}	250.19 ± 11.99^{b}	269.63 ± 12.45^{a}	244.06 ± 13.03^{b}
WG (g)	124.35 ± 9.20^{c}	174.86 ± 11.50^{b}	193.89 ± 12.31^{a}	168.56 ± 12.87^{b}
% WG	162.83 ± 1.28^{c}	232.18 ± 1.04^{b}	255.90 ± 1.16^{a}	222.53 ± 1.19^{b}
SGR	1.07 ± 0.07^{c}	1.33 ± 0.01^{b}	1.41 ± 0.08^{a}	1.30 ± 0.08^{b}
FCR	2.50 ± 0.28^{c}	1.74 ± 0.04^{b}	1.59 ± 0.16^{a}	1.84 ± 0.19^{b}
PER	1.97 ± 0.22^{b}	2.78 ± 0.06^{a}	3.07 ± 0.31^{a}	2.66 ± 0.28^{a}

** CF = Control feed; TMP = Tomato powder enriched feed; CRP = Carrot powder enriched feed; BRP = Beetroot powder enriched feed*

** IW = Initial weight, FW = Final weight, GW = Weight gain, SGR = Specific growth rate, SGR = Specific growth rate, PER = Protein efficiency ratio.*

** Values in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P=0.05).*

Among the carotenoid-based feeds, carrot powder-incorporated feeds produced better FCR

in the fish than tomato and beetroot powder-treated feeds. More or less similar results were also reported in *Pelteobagrusfulvidraco* (Fei *et al*., 2019). Earlier researchers (Amar *et al*., 2001; Watanabe and Vasallo-Agius, 2003) reported that carotenoids play a role in the intermediary metabolism of fish by improving the utilization of nutrients, resulting in improved growth. However, besides the effectiveness of carotenoids in growth performance, they also have a positive effect on the survival rate of fish. A previous study (Torrissen, 1995) reported that carotenoid sources like astaxanthin are essential for the growth and survival of fish and crawfish. However, in this experiment, the result showed that no mortality was found among the treatments. This might be due to the stocking of relatively large and healthy fish.

3.2 Carotenoid Content

The concentrations of carotenoid deposition in the flesh of fish treated with TMP, CRP, and BRP were significantly higher than the control fish, CF (Table 4). The carotenoid content in the fish flesh of CRP was the highest, followed by TMP and BRP. Natural carotenoid inclusion in feed showed a positive effect on carotene deposition in the fish flesh. The present study result exhibited that the flesh of fish treated with natural carotenoid-enriched feed had higher carotene content than the control fish. A similar result was obtained by Maiti *et al.* (2017), where the inclusion of natural carotenoids in feed increased the carotene deposition in the meat of *Cyprinus carpio*. In another study, Tiewsoh*et al.* (2019) registered that the gradual higher inclusion of carrots in feed increases the carotenoid deposition in the goldfish. The results of the present study are also associated with the findings of Swian*et al.* (2014) and Ezhil *et al.* (2008), who found higher carotenoid deposition in the flesh of *Xiphophorus helleri* and *C. carpio* treated with natural carotenoid-enriched feed.

Table 4. Carotene content (mg/g) in the flesh of the fish

Treatments	CF	TMP	CRP	BRP
Carotenoid	0.29 ± 0.17^{c}	1.59 ± 0.09^{b}	4.31 ± 0.29^{a}	1.44 ± 0.40^{b}

** CF = Control feed; TMP = Tomato powder enriched feed; CRP = Carrot powder enriched*

UNDER PEER REVIEW

feed; BRP = Beetroot powder enriched feed

**Values in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P=0.05).*

3.3 Proximate Composition of Fish Flesh

Changes in carcass compositions (% wet basis) of the fish fed with carotenoid-enriched feeds are shown in Table 5. Proximate analysis showed no significant differences in carcass protein, lipid, carbohydrate, fiber moisture, and ash content in the flesh of *B. gonionotus* among the treatments. However, relatively higher carcass protein and ash content were recorded in the fish of BRP, whereas relatively higher lipid, fiber, and moisture content was found in the fish of CRP compared to the control fish (CF).

Table 5. Proximate compositions (%) of the fish flesh

Component	CF	TMP	CRP	BRP
Protein	14.50 ± 1.16[a]	14.82 ± 0.23[a]	14.44 ± 0.04[a]	15.15 ± 0.07[a]
Lipid	2.18 ± 0.03[a]	2.06 ± 0.11[a]	2.48 ± 0.33[a]	2.20 ± 0.007[a]
Ash	1.70 ± 0.02[a]	1.82 ± 0.07[a]	1.70 ± 0.31[a]	1.86 ± 0.27[a]
Carbohydrate	9.22 ± 0.83[a]	10.68 ± 1.09[a]	9.32 ± 1.86[a]	10.37 ± 0.39[a]
Fiber	1.05 ± 0.19[a]	1.03 ± 0.11[a]	1.25 ± 0.007[a]	1.11 ± 0.18[a]
Moisture	70.64 ± 0.62[a]	71.47 ± 0.0[a]	70.06 ± 1.87[a]	69.60 ± 0.72[a]

**CF = Control feed; TMP = Tomato powder enriched feed; CRP = Carrot powder enriched feed; BRP = Beetroot powder enriched feed*

**Values in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P=0.05).*

The results of the present study indicate that the inclusion of natural carotenoid sources in the diet does not impart any positive or negative effects on the carcass of *B. gonionotus*. More or less similar results were registered by Jorjani *et al.* (2019), who observed no effects of *Hibiscus rosasiensis* (as a natural carotenoid source) on the body composition of blue gourami (*Trichogastertrichopterus*). However, Jha *et al.* (2012) found an increase in carotenoid sources

in the diet significantly affected the proximate composition of *Bariliusbendelisis*when spirulina was the source, while marigold did not have any significant impact on the carcass of the fish. This report is partially in agreement with the present study. In another study, Kelestemur and Çoban (2016) found that the crude protein value of fish's meat was higher in a beta-carotene-supplemented diet in rainbow trout, which is a disparity with the present study. The report of Walaa *et al.* (2014) revealed a significant positive effect of carotenoid supplements from crayfish meal and squilla meal on crude protein and ether extracts of red tilapia, which is also an inequality of the present findings. The results of the present study and the findings of preceding research are in concurrence with Boonyaratpalin*et al.* (2001), who reported that different sources of carotenoids might have different impacts on the proximate composition of fish and prawns. Furthermore, the fish's flesh lipid content in the present study coincides with the report of Das *et al.* (2018), where similar lipid content was found in *B. gonionotus* when supplemented with an Azolla-enriched diet as natural feed additives.

3.4. Palatability Test

After the consumption of cooked fish, a number of panelist's consumers made their comments on odour, taste and texture of fish through the specific scoring scale on a score sheet and the mean scores are shown in Table 6. From the assessment of the expert panel, we observed that the cooked flesh of the fish treated with different carotenoid sources had significantly improved odour, taste, and texture compared to the fish treated with control feed. Based on the comments of the panelists regarding palatability, it can be stated that the fish treated with CRP-enriched-feed scored the highest in terms of organoleptic score, followed by the flesh of fish treated with TMP, BRP, and CF. According to the total organoleptic score of three sensory qualities (odour, taste, and texture), the flesh of the fish treated with CRP-enriched feed was the most palatable(Table 6). This might be due to the higher carotenoid deposition in the flesh of carrot-treated fish (Table 4),though no scientific documents are available relating to the relationship between palatability and carotenoid

UNDER PEER REVIEW

deposition in fish flesh. This might also be due to the result of those fish having higher lipid content in their carcasses (Table 5). Food texture and flavour are known to be influenced by lipids, which can be found in foods as free oil or fat scattered throughout a solid matrix or as emulsions. By generating volatile oxidation products and transferring the flavour of short-chain free fatty acids, lipids enhance the flavour of food (Shahidi and Weenen, 2005).Nevertheless, studies on the impact of natural carotenoid sources on the palatability of fish are scarce, and thus additional research is required to draw definitive conclusions.

Table 6. Organoleptic test scores for palatability test of the cooked fish flesh

Attributes	CF	TMP	CRP	BRP
Odour	6.51±0.45^{c}	7.5±0.45^{b}	8.52±0.52^{a}	7.7±0.63^{b}
Taste	6.5±0.65^{c}	7.66±0.47^{b}	8.61±0.78^{a}	7.8±0.63^{b}
Texture	6.59±1.23^{c}	7.4±1.65^{b}	8.41±0.43^{a}	6.1±1.63^{c}
Total Score	19.52±0.77^{c}	22.56±0.85^{b}	25.54±0.57^{a}	21.6±0.96^{b}
Rank	4th	2nd	1st	3rd

**CF = Control feed; TMP = Tomato powder enriched feed; CRP = Carrot powder enriched feed; BRP = Beetroot powder enriched feed*

*Values in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (*P =0.05*).

4. CONCLUSION

The current study shows that silver barbs may efficiently use the natural sources of carotenoids, along with growth and carotenoid deposition in their flesh. The feed with 2% carrot was more efficient in enhancing the growth, carotenoid deposition, and palatability of *B. gonionotus*. The results have the potential to lower post-harvest losses of agricultural products, establish alternate uses, and aid in the development of high-quality feed for our nation's burgeoning aquaculture sector.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors state that they have no known conflicting financial or non-financial interests or personal ties that may be seen as having influenced the work described in this manuscript.

ETHICAL APPROVAL (WHEREEVER APPLICABLE)

The Rajshahi University Research Ethics Committee has exempted the need for ethics approval for this investigation in accordance with the National Wildlife Protection and Conservation Act, 2012 as the fish species used in this study are commercially produced and not a wild or endangered species. However, all procedures performed in this investigation were in accordance with the ethical guidelines provided by The International Council for Laboratory Animal Science (ICLAS) for researchers.

REFERENCES

1. Amar EC, Kiran V, Satoh S, Watanabe T. Influence of various dietary synthetic carotenoids on bio defense mechanism in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss Walboum*). Aquaculture Research.2001; 32(1): 162-63.
2. AOAC. Official methods of analysis of AOAC, 18th edition, In Horwitz, W. (Ed.), AOAC, Washington, DC-1094. 2005.
3. Azab A, Khalaf-Allah H, Maher H. Effect of some food additives on colour enhancement of koi fish, *Cyprinus carpio.* International Journal of Development.2016; 5(1): 109-128. doi: 10.21608/idj.2016.146755.
4. Boonyaratpalin M, Thongrod S, Supamattaya K, Britton G,Schlipalius LE. Effects of â-carotene source, Dunaliellasalina, and astaxanthin on pigmentation, growth, survival and health of *Penaeus monodon*. Aquaculture Research.2001; 32(1): 182-90.
5. Bozalan NK, Karadeniz F. Carotenoid profile, total phenolic content, and antioxidant activity of carrots. International Journal of Food Properties.2011; 14: 1060-1068. https://doi.org/10.1080/10942910903580918
6. Chow EPY, Liong KH,Schoeters E. Effect of dietary carotenoids of different forms:

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Microemulsified and non-microemulsified on the growth, pigmentation and hematological parameters in hybrid catfish (*Clarias macrocephalus* × *C. gariepinus*). Journal of Aquaculture Research and Development.2017; 7(7): 437.

7. Das M, Rahim F, Hossain M. Evaluation of fresh *Azolla pinnata* as a low-cost supplemental feed for Thai Silver Barb *Barbonymusgonionotus*. Fishes.2018; 3(1): 15. https://doi.org/10.3390/fishes3010015
8. DoF. Yearbook of Fisheries Statistics of Bangladesh, 2019-20. Fisheries Resources Survey System (FRSS), Department of Fisheries, Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock, Dhaka, Bangladesh.2020; 128 pp.
9. Ezhil J, Jeyanthi C, Narayanan M. Marigold as a carotenoid source on pigmentation and growth of red swordtail, *Xiphophorus helleri*. Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science.2008; 8: 99-102.
10. Fei L, Yun-Kun Q, Ai-Ming W, Ye-Bing Y, Wen-Ping Y, Fu L, Qing N. Effects of carotenoids on the growth performance, biochemical parameters, immune responses and disease resistance of yellow catfish (*Pelteobagrusfulvidraco*) under high-temperature stress. Aquaculture.2019; 503: 293-303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.01.008
11. Gupta SK, Jha AK, Pal AK,Venkateshwarlu G. Use of natural carotenoids for pigmentation in fishes. Natural Product Radiance.2007; 6(1): 46-49.
12. Hafeez-ur-Rehman M, Iqbal KJ, Abbas F, Mushta MMH, Rasool F, Parveen S. Influence of feeding frequency on growth performance and body indices of goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). Journal of Aquaculture Research and Development.2015; 6(5): 336. https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9546.1000336
13. Hoar WS, Randall DJ (Eds).*Fish physiology*. Academic Press, Orlando, Florida. 1992.
14. Huss HH. Quality and quality changes in fresh fish. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 348, FAO, Rome, Italy. 1995.
15. Jha GN, Sarma D, Qureshi TA. Effect of spirulina (*Spirulina platensis*) and marigold (*Tageteserecta*) fortified diets on growth, body composition and total carotenoid content of

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Bariliusbendelisis. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences.2012; 82(3): 336-340.

16. Jorjani M, Sharifrohani M, Rostami MA, Tan SH. Effects of Hibiscus rosasiensis as a natural carotenoid on growth performance, body composition, pigmentation and carotenoid in blood plasma of blue gourami, *Trichogastertrichopterus* at different stocking densities. Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences.2019; 18(4): 619-634. https://doi.org/10.22092/ijfs.2018.119315.
17. Kaushik R. Impact of colours in marketing. International Journal of Computational Engineering and Management.2011; 13:129-131.
18. Kelestemur GT,Çoban OE. Effects of the ß-Carotene on the Growth Performance and Skin Pigmentation of Rainbow Trout (*O. mykiss*, W. 1792*)*.Journal of Fisheries and Livestock Production.2016; 4:164. https://doi.org/10.4172/2332-2608.1000164
19. Khatun S, Rahman MM, Sarkar CC. Comparative overview of different fish feed industries in Noakhali region of Bangladesh. Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research.2017; 3(4): 488-493. https://doi.org/10.3329/ajmbr.v3i4.35339
20. Lakshmi GS, Martin P, Elumalai K, Kasinathan ID. Effect of different concentrations of feed enriched with carrot, beetroot and *Spirulina* powder on growth performance and survival rate of Albino Tiger barb *Puntius tetrazona*. Bleeker, 1855 (Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae). International Journal of Advance Research in Biological Science.2015; 2(10): 176-186.
21. Lichtenthaler, HK,Wellburn AR. Determination of total carotenoids and chlorophyll a and b of leaf extracts in different solvents. Biochemical Society Transactions.1983; 603: 591-603.
22. Maiti MK, Bora D, Nandeesha TL, Sahoo S, Adarsh BK, Kumar S. Effect of dietary natural carotenoid sources on colour enhancement of Koi carp, *Cyprinus carpio*. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies.2017; 5(4): 340-345.
23. Martí R, Roselló S,Cebolla-Cornejo J. Tomato is a source of carotenoids and polyphenols targeted to cancer prevention. Cancers (Basel).2016; 8(6): 58. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers8060058

UNDER PEER REVIEW

24. Mazid MA. Development of fisheries in Bangladesh. Policy.2002; 2(3).
25. Noman S. One-third of Bangladesh's vegetables, fruits go to waste. 2021. https://businesspostbd.com/back/2021-09-07/one-third-of-bangladesh-s-vegetables-fruits-go-to-waste-26905.
26. Pieniak Z, Kołodziejczyk M, Kowrygo B, Verbeke W. Consumption patterns and labeling of fish and fishery products in Poland after the EU accession. Food Control.2011; 22: 843-850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2010.09.022
27. Rema P, Gouveia L.Effect of various sources of carotenoids on survival and growth of goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) larvae and juveniles. Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances.2005; 4(7): 654-58.
28. Shahidi F, Weenen H. Food lipids: chemistry, flavor, and texture. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society. 2006http://catalog.hathitrust.org/api/volumes/oclc/60664412.html
29. Sentkowska A, Pyrzyńska K. Old-Fashioned, but Still a Superfood-Red Beets as a Rich Source of Bioactive Compounds. Applied Science.2023; 13(13): 7445.
30. Swian HS, Senapati SR, Meshram SJ, Mishra R, Murthy HS. Effect of dietary supplementation of marigold oleoresin on growth, survival and total muscle carotenoid of Koi carp, *Cyprinus carpio*. Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2014; 6(2): 430-435.
31. Teimouri M, Amirkolaie A, Yeganeh S. The effects of *Spirulina platensis* meal as a feed supplement on growth performance and pigmentation of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss.* Aquaculture. 2013; 396-399: 14-15.
32. Tiewsoh W, Singh E, Nath R, Surnar SR, Priyadarshini A. Effect of carotenoid in growth and colour enhancement in goldfish, *Carassius auratus*. Journal of Experimental Zoology.2019;22(2): 765-771.
33. Torrissen OJ. Christiansen R. Requirements for carotenoids in fish diets. Journal of Applied Ichthyology.1995; 11(3&4): 225-230.
34. Uddin MT, Rasel MH, Dhar AR, Baiduzzaman, Hoque MS. Factors determining consumer preferences for pangas and tilapia fish in Bangladesh: Consumers' perception and

UNDER PEER REVIEW

consumption habit perspective. Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology.2019; 28: 438-449.

35. Wagde MS, Sharma SK, SharmaBK, Shivani AP, Keer NR. Effect of natural β-carotene from-carrot (*Daucus carota*) and Spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*) on colouration of an ornamental fish swordtail (*Xiphophorus hellerii*). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*.* 2018*;* 6(6): 699-705.
36. Walaa HA, El-Bermawi NM, Shaltout OE, Essa MAE. Effect of adding different carotenoid sources on growth performance, pigmentation, stress response and quality in Red Tilapia (*Oreochromis* sp.). Middle East Journal of Applied Sciences.2014; 4(4): 988-999.
37. Watanabe T, Vasallo-Agius R. Brood-stock nutrition research on marine finfish in Japan. Aquaculture, 2003; 227: 35-61.

UNDER PEER REVIEW