

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_125976
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Problem-Solving Appraisals as Predictors of Mathematics Performance Among College Students</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses the connection between problem-solving appraisals and mathematics performance, an area crucial for understanding student success. By investigating factors like problem-solving confidence, approach-avoidance, and personal control, it sheds light on the cognitive and emotional aspects that impact academic achievement.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the article seems clear	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract appears to cover the key elements of the study, including the purpose, methodology, and main variables.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appear appropriate for a scientific study. The manuscript seems to follow a logical flow, with distinct sections for the introduction, methodology, results, and discussion, which makes it easy to follow.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound due to its clear research design and appropriate use of a descriptive correlational approach, which aligns well with the study's objectives. The variables are clearly defined, and the methodology is consistent with standard practices for measuring problem-solving appraisals and mathematics performance.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references included in the manuscript appear to be sufficient and relevant to the study's focus on problem-solving appraisals and mathematics performance.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. The manuscript demonstrates a clear and coherent structure, with well-formed sentences and appropriate academic vocabulary.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall, the manuscript presents an important contribution to the field of education by exploring the relationship between problem-solving appraisals and mathematics performance among first-year college students.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lehlohonolo Kurata</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National University of Lesotho, Lesotho</b>