

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_125740
Title of the Manuscript:	A case report on aneurysm of the atypical right subclavian artery
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	An interesting case report, mainly on the value of CT THORAX in unexplained physical symptoms. Because the implications of an abnormal right subclavian artery is of high priority in case of aorta catheterization, the clinician doing the catheterization should have a high index of clinical suspicion of this entity should problems during catheterization occur.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The examination with CT Thorax was very correct, because it revealed the cause of dysphagia and the atypical right subclavian artery with the aneurysm as well. Then, at the discussion, the authors address the possible implications of this entity in clinical practice during aorta catheterization, what should be in mind when problems around the catheterization through the right radial artery arise.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references style is not uniform throughout the reference list, they do not seem to comply with any instruction rule, may be the authors need to go again through the journal style for the references. Moreover, in Reference 19 the correct date is missing.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eleni Volakli
Department, University & Country	University Hospital of Larissa, Greece