

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125706
Title of the Manuscript:	Morpho-molecular Genetic Diversity Analysis of Basmati and Non-Basmati Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) Germplasm.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research is regarding, identifying the diverse genotype of rice for different characters which can be used for further breeding programme, as molecular characterization is included it provides a clear picture. As rice is important crop, need of improvement in this crop is continuous process, as climate is changing, demand for different quality of rice is changing.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	1. Place of research can be mentioned in previous paragraphs 2. Discussed about dendrogram in abstract, but it is not mentioned in body part	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	1. Title of table at some places are not written properly 2. Content included in conclusion should be discussed in body part either in the form of table or text	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. Figure of marker profile of different genotypes should be included in paper 2. Information regarding clusters based on molecular characterization should be included in tabulated form or in figures. 3. For clearer picture dendrogram should be included	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	1. Some new references of 2022,2023 should be included 2. References are not written alphabetically 3. Some references mentioned in body part is missing	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Satisfactory	
Optional/General comments	Overall paper is informative, some correction in table and references is needed, adding more figure will make this manuscript more reliable specially in molecular parts	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jyoti Kumari
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India