

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125095
Title of the Manuscript:	Cost Efficiency and Profitability of Papaya Marketing Channels in North-Eastern Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Although the study is common, learning can bring some new insights for further study in future.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes, it is.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes, which was described in the study, concisely incorporated.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	In the introduction part, importance and general information about pineapple has been described. Research gap can be included. Justification of research will increase it's importance.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Although sampling is described however, it's not clear how the representative samples were selected. Assuming each taluk's population might not be the same. If same numbers of samples selected from each taluk it should have a clear interpretation why selected same numbers sample if population is not same. Tabular presentation can increase easy readability.</li> <li>• If Acharya and Agarwal is a proven theory, it should be properly cited.</li> <li>• Within the applied equations, the interpretations seemed clear. However, model related robustness checking was not possible since no statistical models were applied to check fitness or reliability.</li> <li>•</li> </ul>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Very few references were used. Suggesting reviewing more literatures, which will enrich the research.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bapon Mankhin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bangladesh</b>