

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_125592
Title of the Manuscript:	Lengths and Content Variation of Oil Palm Broom Fibre (OPBF) and Lime on the Physico-Mechanical Properties of Compressed Earth Blocks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This study addresses an important issue in the field of sustainable development by exploring the potential of local building materials for construction purposes. This study was conducted to investigate the physico-mechanical properties of compressed earth blocks stabilised with varying lengths and contents of oil palm broom fibre (OPBF), and lime for housing applications. However, this study requires thorough revision, particularly in terms of expanding on certain points.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the article is well written.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Revise the organization of ideas in the abstract to be clearer. Most of the key words are on the title of the paper. They should be revised	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<u>Methods:</u> The methods used in this study are suitable and sufficient. <u>Results:</u> The results obtained from the tests are adequate for this study. <u>Interpretation:</u> The authors have successfully discussed the results of the tests.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> =	There are many recent publications on the topic that have not been cited so it is recommended to update the references with papers published within the last five years	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The paper is well written.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Generally, the paper has been well presented with clear and concise English	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kagonbe Pagna Bertin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Cameroon</b>