

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	JournalofExperimentalAgricultureInternational
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JEAI_125886
TitleoftheManuscript:	PersistenceandDissipationKineticsof λ -cyhalothrininOkraFruitsandSoil
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

ReviewForm3

PART1:ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that a author should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	λ-cyhalothrin is one of the pyrethroid pesticide residues which constitutes one of the replacements for organochlorine residues. However, human exposure to pesticide residues is a public health problem. So a study on their degradation in fruits as well as soils is good to give a guarantee to consumers of fruits treated with pesticides.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The document presented here represents scientific rigor because the methodology followed is clear and easy to understand. Also, the validation methods of the analytical procedure are respected. This may allow other researchers to repeat the same experiments.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not sufficient and 17 of them are old, 11 years old at least.	
Minor REVISIONcomments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article is to improve.	
Optional/General comments		

ReviewForm3 **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prudence Agnandji
Department, University & Country	Mzuzu University, Malawi