

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_125576
Title of the Manuscript:	Detection of Hemodynamic Events During the Implementation of a Novel Indigenous Tele ICU system-An Observational Study
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title already reflects the research objectives	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the methodology, there is no need to mention the duration of the study because it has been explained in the previous section. In the methodology section, there is still no information related to "Hemodynamic Events", an explanation of when tele-ICU was applied, a brief explanation of tele-ICU, the type of analysis (descriptive), and the statistical applications used.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is in accordance with international standards, only additional explanations are needed in several sections such as: The introduction must be at least 5 paragraphs explaining theory, GAP or gaps that cause problems, the magnitude of the problem, the importance of the problem to be studied, the purpose of the study. The subject method needs to explain what the tele-ICU is that is being developed, how it works, what its contents are, how the data is taken, by whom the data is taken, The discussion can explain interesting findings and is separated based on these findings. Each finding is discussed with the provision of adding other research that is in line, theory, and researcher justification	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is very important to provide a real picture of how many cases can be handled by tele-ICU and its success rate, but the explanation of the mechanism and improvements for the future should be more emphasized. The author's thoughts should be added rather than just presenting descriptive data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Research on telemedicine and tele-ICU has been widely published since the covid-19 pandemic. please add the latest references and make references at least 25	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is suitable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joko Tri Atmojo
Department, University & Country	Mamba'ul Ulum Surakarta School of Health and Sciences, Indonesia