

Effect of Nano Urea on Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) Grafts Cv. Alphonso.

Comment [C1]: Pl make it more clear pl change title if possible study the effect of application of foliar spray and drenching of nano urea on growth and survival of mango grafts *Mangifera indica* L.) grafts cv. Alphonso".

ABSTRACT

This investigation is aimed to study the effect of application of foliar spray and drenching of nano urea on growth and survival of mango grafts cv. Alphonso. Experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications and twelve treatments at College of Horticulture, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India. Treatments applied as foliar spray and drenching of nano urea at different rates in which treatment T₅ i.e. foliar application of nano urea at 1500 ppm showed best performance in survival percentage (95.11 %), graft height (44.99 cm), number of shoots (1.90), number of leaves (31.13), relative growth rate (0.0059 cm/cm/day), dry weight of root (13.44 g) and tap root length (27.10 cm). However, treatment T₈ i.e. drenching of nano urea at 1500 ppm showed good results in graft girth (10.60 mm) and treatment T₃ i.e. foliar spray of nano urea at 500 ppm were found best for total leaf area (654.52 cm²). Among all the nano urea treatments, treatment T₅ i.e. foliar spray of nano urea at 1500 ppm was the best owing to highest survival and better growth of mango grafts cv. Alphonso.

Keywords: Nano urea, Foliar spray, Drenching, Survival, Growth parameters, Alphonso mango grafts

1. INTRODUCTION

Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) is one of the most widely consumed fruit worldwide, particularly in India, where it is considered to be the best choice among all native fruits. It has been deeply ingrained in Indian culture, society, and religion since ancient times [18]. The mango is aptly referred to as the "King of fruits" and it is also regarded as the "National fruit of India". It is said to have originated in the Indo Burma region of South East Asia, near the foothills of the Himalayas [11].

Comment [C2]: Pl provide more and current references

The Konkan region is well known for the commercial cultivation of the world-famous 'Alphonso' mango, locally called 'Hapus.' Horticultural land in India is rapidly expanding, particularly for fruit crops. The Konkan belt is recognized as a key provider of highest quality, disease-free planting material for all main mango types in India, notably Maharashtra. Currently, there are approximately 250 licensed fruit crop nurseries in the Konkan region, producing 1 to 1.2 million saleable mango grafts annually [6]. The area under mango is increasing rapidly. Most of the increased area is planted under high density planting mode. Hence, the demand for healthy and vigorous mango grafts is rapidly increasing.

As an alternative to conventional urea, nano urea helps crops to meet their nitrogen needs, especially during crucial growth periods. Liquid nano urea contains 4 % nanoscale nitrogen particles. One nano urea liquid particle is 30 nm in size, and it has a surface area to volume ratio is nearly 10,000 times more than that of a typical granular urea particle [9]. Its application boosts the crop's nitrogen availability by over 80 %, increasing the crop's efficiency in using nutrients. The use of traditional urea is reduced by 50 % or more when nano urea is used. It enhances agricultural output, soil health, and the nutritional value of products. In this context, the study was necessary to investigate the "use and effect of application of nano urea for imparting and improving the vigour of mango grafts cv. Alphonso".

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site and Weather

The experiment was conducted during the year 2023-2024 in July- March at Nursery No. 10, Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India. Dapoli is a town in Ratnagiri district, situated on the West coast part of Maharashtra at an altitude of 240 meters above MSL. It has a tropical climate with hot and humid condition throughout the year. It is located in 17°45' North longitude and 73°12' East longitude. The soil of this region is lateritic, porous and acidic in reaction with pH range of 5.6 to 6.5. The average annual maximum and minimum temperature are 30.4°C to 31.8°C and 17°C to 20.2°C respectively. The mean annual rainfall is near about 3600 mm which is normally distributed from June to October.

Experimental Details

The current study was undertaken to study the effect of nano urea on mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) grafts cv. Alphonso. The experiment was formulated in randomized block design with twelve treatments having three replications. The treatments are T₁ Control (Soil+FYM) (3:1), T₂ Soil+ Vermicompost (2:1) +Urea (2 g/plant), T₃ Foliar spray of nano urea @ 500 ppm, T₄ Foliar spray of nano urea @ 1000 ppm, T₅ Foliar spray of nano urea @ 1500 ppm, T₆ Foliar spray of nano urea @ 2000 ppm, T₇ Foliar spray of nano urea @ 2500 ppm, T₈ Drenching of nano urea @ 1500 ppm, T₉ Drenching of nano urea @ 2000 ppm, T₁₀ Drenching of nano urea @ 2500 ppm, T₁₁ Drenching of nano urea @ 3000 ppm, T₁₂ Drenching of nano urea @ 3500 ppm. The planting material used for experimentation comprises Alphonso mango grafts sourced from the Nursery no. 10, College of Horticulture, Dapoli. The grafting operations for the Alphonso mangoes was conducted in the month of June. The grafts of one month old were taken for the experiment. The applications of all the treatments is conducted at 30, 60 and 90 days after grafting.

Observations Recorded

Total 10 seedlings from each treatment were selected for the observation of morphological characters. The following observation regarding graft height (cm), stem girth (mm), number of shoots per grafts, number of leaves per graft, Total leaf area (cm²) were recorded at 30 days interval upto 270 days from the first application. Whereas, the observations regarding,

Comment [C3]: T1 : Control (Soil+FYM) (3:1) pl write :

Comment [C4]: Pl give in table format

tap root length (cm), dry weight of roots (g), relative growth rate (cm/cm/day) and survival percentage were recorded at the end of the experiment 270 days after 1st application.

Statistical Analysis

The data obtained in the present investigation was statistically analyzed as per the method suggested by Panse and Sukhatme [13]. The standard error (S.E.) of means was worked out and a critical difference (CD) at 5% i.e. $p=0.05$ was also worked out whenever the result was significant.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Survival Percentage

The data on the effect of nano urea on survival percentage in mango grafts are given in Table 1. The results demonstrated that survival percentage at 270 DAT (Days after treatment) was significantly impacted by the nano urea. As a result, it was discovered that survival rate varied between 79.33 per cent (T₂) to 95.11 per cent (T₅) among all the treatments. Thus, treatment T₅ (1500 ppm nano urea foliar spray) showed superior results over other treatments. This might be due to the foliar application of nano urea liquid leads to more efficient nitrogen absorption, better physiological growth and production [7].

Comment [C5]: (T5) write like that at all places

Comment [C6]: Reference with year

Graft Height (cm)

The data on graft height of mango cv. Alphonso after application of various nano urea treatments are presented in Table 2. At 270 DAT, graft height was significantly highest in treatment T₅ (44.99 cm) which was found superior over rest of the treatments followed by treatment T₃ (43.31 cm). The lowest graft height was observed in treatment T₁₀ (31.74 cm) which was at par with the treatment T₆ (31.81 cm). Effect of nano urea in mango grafts cv. Alphonso was found to be meritorious in treatment T₅ (foliar spray of nano urea 1500 ppm). The prolonged release of nutrients by nano fertilizers may be attributed to their longer lasting ability. This prolonged release enables the plants to maintain the consistent supply of nutrients, leading to increased plant height [15]. The present findings are in accordance with the results obtained by Rathod et al. [15] in french basil and Abobatta et al. [1] in citrus rootstock seedlings.

Comment [C7]: et.al

Comment [C8]: et.al.

Graft Girth (mm)

The data regarding to the girth of grafts as influenced by different nano urea treatments are presented in Table 2. At 270 DAT, graft girth was significantly affected by various treatments. The highest graft girth was noticed in treatment T₈ (10.60 mm) which was at par with treatments T₃ (10.51 mm) and T₉ (10.40 mm) and significantly superior over the remaining treatments. Whereas the lowest graft girth was observed in treatment T₆ (9.52 mm) which was at par with treatments T₁₂ (9.53 mm), T₁ (9.54 mm) and T₁₀ (9.60 mm) and significantly inferior to all other treatments. Treatment T₈ (Drenching of nano urea at 1500 ppm) recorded superior results over remaining treatments. It might be due to controlled release of nitrogen, which helps plant grow better by improving stem thickness and overall health. Its steady nitrogen supply supports cell growth and increases stem girth, while the slow-release feature ensures consistent nutrient delivery, boosting plant vigour and strength. Similar effect of nano urea application on stem girth were observed by the Augustus et al. [4] in Cavendish banana.

Comment [C9]: et.al/pl write year

NumberofShoots

The data on effect of nano urea on number of shoots per graft were recorded at 30 days interval from 30 to 270 DAT and are given in Table 2. At 270 days after treatment, the highest number of shoots were observed in treatment T₅(1.90) which was at par with the treatments T₃(1.77), T₈(1.73) and T₁₂(1.57). Whereas the lowest number of shoots were found in the treatment T₆(1.23) and which was at par with the treatments T₉(1.27), T₇and T₄(1.33), T₂(1.37), T₁₁(1.41), T₁₀(1.43) and T₁(1.47). Thus, among the various nano urea treatments maximum number of shoots was recorded in treatment T₅which was foliar spray of nano urea at 1500 ppm. Nano urea can enhance shoot development in mango grafts by improving nutrient uptake efficiency and stimulating physiological processes. Nano urea particles have a high surface area to volume ratio, which allows for better nutrient release and absorption. Similar results were reported by Rathod [et al. \[15\]](#) in french basil, Meena [et al. \[10\]](#) in ber.

Comment [C10]: *et.al* write year

Comment [C11]: ?

3.5.NumberofLeaves

The data on the effect of nano urea on number of leaves in mango grafts cv. Alphonso are presented in Table 2. There was a significant difference observed in the number of leaves due to various treatments. At 270 DAT, the maximum number of leaves (31.13) were observed in the treatment T₅and it was at par with the treatments T₈(31.07) and T₃(30.27). The minimum number of leaves (26.26) was recorded in the treatment T₂. It was at par with the treatments T₉(26.80) and T₁₀(27.13). From the above context, results indicated that treatment T₅(foliar spray of nano urea at 1500 ppm) recorded with the better number of leaves. The increase in the leaves might be attributed to a nano fertilizer that stimulates cell division and expansion, particularly in leaf cells which was positively reflected in increasing photosynthesis. Where, nitrogen has positive role in increasing various activities of grafts [\[16\]](#). Similarly,theresultswerefoundidenticalwiththefindingsobtainedbyRathodetal. [\[15\]](#)infrenchbasilandSathyanetal. [\[16\]](#)infieldpea.

Comment [C12]: reference ?

Comment [C13]: ?

TotalLeafArea(cm²)

Another important parameter in determining the size of the photosynthetic site is leaf area. The leaf area which was recorded at 30 days interval up to 270 DAT significantly affected by the different nano urea treatments in mango grafts. The data regarding total leaf area is shown in table 2. At 270 days after treatment, the statistically maximum total leaf area was recorded in treatment T₃(654.52 cm²) which was at par with the treatment T₅ (649.72 cm²). The minimum total leaf area was recorded in treatment T₂(473.97 cm²) which was at par with the treatment T₄(492.32 cm²) and significantly inferior to the remaining treatments. Thus, it was found that the total leaf area for the mango grafts ranged between 473.97 (T₂)to654.52(T₃). Significant increaseinthe total leafareawas noticed in treatment T₃.e.foliar spray of nano urea at 500 ppm. The increase in total leaf area may be attributed to nano urea's ability to penetrate leaf stomata and other openings, allowing efficient assimilation by plant cells. The nutrient is then transported through the phloem from source to sink. Excess nitrogen is sequestered in vacuoles, where it is gradually released, supporting enhanced plant growth and development [\[17\]](#).The similar findings were found in Volkamer lemon and Sour orange by Abobatta [et al. \[1\]](#) and in potato tubers by Aditi [et al. \[2\]](#).

Comment [C14]: *et.al.* write year

Comment [C15]: *et.al* write year in bracket at every places

RelativeGrowthRate(cm/cm/day)

The data regarding relative growth rate of mango grafts cv. Alphonso with respect to height (cm/cm/day) are given in Table 2. From 240-270 days, the highest relative growth rate(RGR)wasrecordedinthetreatmentT₅(0.0059cm/cm/day)whereasthelowestRGRwas

recorded in treatments T₉(0.0002 cm/cm/day). From the above results, the treatment T₅i.e. foliar application of nano urea at 1500ppm noted with maximum relative growth rate on graft height basis. This might be due to the fact that foliar spraying of nano-N promoted growth characteristics, as the nutrients could more easily enter the leaves stomata through gas uptake [14]. The similar results were found identical with the findings obtained by Vinayaka et al. [17] in jamun, Abobatta et al. [1] in citrus rootstock seedling and Choudhary et al. [5] in garlic with respect to plant height.

Dry weight of root(g)

The data on effect of nano urea on dry weight of root (g) of mango grafts cv. Alphonso are presented in table 03 and depicted in figure 3. The dry weight of root was recorded at 270 DAT. There was significant variation observed among the various treatments. At 270 days after treatment, the highest dry weight of root was recorded in treatment T₅(13.44 g) which was at par with the treatment T₃(12.97 g). However, the lowest dry weight of the root was found in treatment T₁₀(7.00 g) which was at par with the treatment T₉(7.14 g) and T₆(7.24 g) and significantly inferior over remaining treatments. Thus, it was found that dry weight of root was varied from 7.00 g (T₁₀) to 13.44 g (T₅). This might be attributed to the nano fertilizer's role in promoting metabolic processes, particularly photosynthesis. This enhancement leads to increased accumulation of photosynthates and more dry matter production [12]. Similar results were also obtained by Abobatta et al. [1] in citrus rootstock seedlings and Laila et al. [8] in olive seedlings.

Comment [C16]: reference

Comment [C17]: year

Tap root length(cm)

The data on the effect of nano urea on tap root length as influenced by various nano urea treatments are given in Table 3. Significant difference was observed among the various treatments in mango grafts cv. Alphonso with respect to tap root length. At 270 DAT, the highest tap root length was noted in the treatment T₅(27.10 cm) which was significantly superior over all other treatments and it was followed by treatments T₁₂(25.45 cm), T₂(25.27), T₁(23.38 cm) and T₁₁(23.00 cm). The lowest tap root length was observed in treatment T₆(15.68 cm) which was at par with the treatment T₄(16.55 cm) and significantly inferior over remaining treatments. Thus, it was observed that the tap root length ranged between 15.68 cm (T₆) to 27.10 cm (T₅) among all the treatments. Treatment T₅i.e. foliar spray of nano urea at 1500 ppm showed good result regarding to tap root length. This might be due to nano fertilizers have an effective mechanism for transporting compounds to target places in the plant parts including leaves, roots and other areas [3]. Similar results were also obtained by Laila et al. [8] in olive seedling.

Comment [C18]: reference with year

Table 1. Effect of nano urea on survival percentage of mango grafts cv. Alphonso

Comment [C19]: pl make all table equal heading

Treatment No.	Survival percentage at 270 DAT
T ₁	86.67 (68.58)
T ₂	79.33 (62.96)
T ₃	93.75 (75.52)
T ₄	90.00 (71.57)

T ₅	95.11 (77.23)
T ₆	89.78 (71.35)
T ₇	91.94 (73.51)
T ₈	90.00 (71.57)
T ₉	91.27 (72.81)
T ₁₀	91.67 (73.22)
T ₁₁	94.72 (76.72)
T ₁₂	90.08 (71.64)
Mean	90.40
Range	79.33-95.11
S.E m±	2.67
CDat5%	7.82

*Figures in the parentheses are arcsin transformed values

Table 2. Effect of nano urea treatments on morphological characters of mango grafts cv. Alphonso at 270 DAT (Days after treatment)

Treatments	Plant Height (cm)	Graft girth (mm)	Number of shoots	Number of Leaves	Total leaf area (cm ²)	Relative growth rate (cm/cm/day)
T ₁	33.62	9.54	1.47	28.87	541.32	0.0034
T ₂	36.41	10.00	1.37	26.26	473.97	0.0054
T ₃	43.31	10.51	1.77	30.27	654.52	0.0053
T ₄	34.66	10.22	1.33	27.47	492.32	0.0052
T ₅	44.99	10.22	1.90	31.13	649.72	0.0059
T ₆	31.81	9.52	1.23	27.40	570.86	0.0046
T ₇	41.75	9.90	1.33	29.17	568.69	0.0055
T ₈	42.35	10.60	1.73	31.07	592.71	0.0057
T ₉	32.65	10.40	1.27	26.80	627.54	0.0002
T ₁₀	31.74	9.60	1.43	27.13	573.16	0.0053
T ₁₁	32.73	9.84	1.41	27.42	533.23	0.0053

Comment [C20]: pl make all table equal heading

T ₁₂	32.56	9.53	1.57	28.67	630.62	0.0032
Mean	36.55	9.99	1.48	28.47	575.72	0.0046
Range	31.74-44.99	9.52-10.60	1.23-1.90	26.26-31.13	473.97-654.52	-
S.E.±	0.27	0.08	0.10	0.33	7.50	-
C.D.@5%	0.79	0.23	0.30	0.96	21.99	-

Table03.Effectofnanoureaondryrootweight(g)andtaprootlength(cm)

Treatments	Dryroot weight (g)	Taproot length (cm)
T ₁	7.75	23.38
T ₂	8.79	25.27
T ₃	12.97	19.13
T ₄	8.23	16.55
T ₅	13.44	27.10
T ₆	7.24	15.68
T ₇	7.80	17.43
T ₈	9.24	19.20
T ₉	7.14	22.70
T ₁₀	7.00	17.50
T ₁₁	7.94	23.00
T ₁₂	7.64	25.45
Mean	8.77	21.03
Range	7.00-13.44	15.68-27.10
S.E.±	0.17	0.13
C.D.@5%	0.49	1.39

Comment [C21]: pl make all table equal heading




Fig.1:Effectofnanoureaonsurvivalpercentageofmangografcv.Alphonso

Comment [C22]: pl provide graph of all observations if possible




Fig.2:Effectofnanoureatreatmentondryweightofroot(g)inmangografcv. Alphonso




Fig.3:Effectofnanoureatreatmentontaprootlength(cm)inmangograftscv. Alphonso

4. CONCLUSION

The present experimentation on effect of nano urea treatment on mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) grafts cv. Alphonso indicated that the treatments influenced the survival and growth parameters significantly. However, this effect was not consistent with respect to foliar application, drenching and various concentrations of nano urea. The survival, graft height, number of leaves, relative growth rate, dry weight of root and length of tap root was the best in treatment T₅. The treatment T₃ ranked 1st for the total leaf area. Hence, though the effect of nano urea was observed on the survival and growth parameters of mango grafts it was not consistent. All the nano urea treatments resulted in greater survival than that of control as well as T₂. The treatment T₅, T₃, T₇ and T₈ had better performance with respect to plant vigour. Whereas T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₇ and T₈ were better for the root vigour than control. Among all the nano urea treatments, T₅ was the best owing to highest survival and better shoot and root vigour. However, this is only one season study. A consistent study for two to three years with nano urea treatments is essential so as to refine the findings. Similarly, further studies are essential for stage of application of nano urea to mango grafts.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declares that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing this manuscript.

Comment [C23]: pl make conclusion in short and more effective and scientifically This sentence is too long so please divided it.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authorshavedeclaredthatnocompetinginterestsexist.

REFERENCES

1. Abobatta WF, Ahmed FK. Effect of urea and nano-nitrogen spray treatments on some citrus rootstock seedlings. *Horti. Res. J.* (2023);1(1):68-84.
2. Aditi Chauhan, Pallvi, Puja Rattan, Ludarmani and Sharma A., (2023). Effect of pre-soaking of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) tubers in nano-urea and nano-zinc on its growth, quality and yield. *The Pharma Inno. J.*, 12(7): 980-995.
3. Al-Asally ME, Al-Hijemy SH. Response of bio-stimulator and foliar spray of nano-nitrogen on growth characteristics of two mandarin seedlings. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Envi. Sci.*, IOP Publishing. (2023);1158(4):42-46.
4. Augustus, D. N. and Domingo, E. A. (2023). Comparative effect of foliar and soil application of FertiGroe Nano N, P and K fertilizer on the growth performance of 'Cavendish' banana [*Musa acuminata* Colla (AAA) 'Cavendish']. *Nigeria Agri. J.*, 54(1): 416-419.
5. Choudhary, R., Choudhary, M. R., Anita Choudhary and Pushpa Ujaniya. (2023). Effect of inorganic fertilizer, organic manures and nano Urea on vegetative attributes and biochemical activity of Garlic. *Bio. Forum – An Inter. J.*, 15(2): 732-736.
6. Haldavnekar, P.C., Kulkarni, M.M., Ragii, S.G., Lad, O.A., Jadhav, A.P., Padge, V. V., Kolekar, D. T., Pawar, C. D., Salvi, B. R., Khandekar, R. G., Dalvi, N. V., Malshe, K.V., Haldankar, P.M., Nayak, M.G., Patil, P., Nagvekar, D.D., Gunjate, R.T., Burondkar, M. M., Joshi, M. S., Desai, V.S. and Chavan, S. A. (2020). Clonal multiplication of healthy planting material for successful establishment of orchards under changing climate of Coastal Maharashtra. *Adv. Agri. Res. and tech. J.*, 4(1): 63-72.
7. Kumar, A., Ram, H., Kumar, S., Kumar, R., Yadav, A., Ananya Gairola, Kumar, V. and Tanu Sharma (2023). A comprehensive review of nano-urea vs. conventional urea. *Int. J. Pt. Soil Sci.*, 35(23): 32-40.
8. Laila Hagag F., N. S., Mustafa, E.A.E. Genaidy and Eman S. El-Hady (2018). Effect of spraying nano-NPK on growth performance and nutrient status for (Kalamat cv.) olive seedlings *Biosci. Res.*, 15(2): 1297-1303.
9. Lakshman, K., Chandrakala, M., Prasad, P.N.S., Babu, G.P., Srinivas, T., Naik, N. R. and Korah, A. (2022). Liquid nano-urea: An emerging nano fertilizer substitute for conventional urea. *Chronicles of Biores. Manag.*, 6(2): 054-059.

Comment [C24]:

Comment [C25]: pl write in format

10. Meena, S. M., Bhardwaj, R. L., Pushpa, K., Kumar, L., Poonia, S. and Kuri, R. (2023). Effect of nano nitrogen and phosphorus on growth, yield and quality of ber, *Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam. *J. Agri. and Eco.*, **17**: 49-52.
11. Mukharjee, S.K. (1951). Origin of Mango. *Ind. J. Genet. Pt. Breed.*, **11**: 49-56.
12. Mustafa, N. S., Matter, I. A., El-Dahshouri, M. F., Zhang, L., Mahfouze, S. A., Shaarawy, H. H. and El-Berry, I. M. (2022). Impact of nano-fertilizers on growth performance of Fig crop and soil health. *J. Agri and Eco. Res. Int.*, **23**(6): 138-146.
13. Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1995). Statistical methods for Agricultural workers. *Indian Council of Agri.*, pp. 97-156.
14. Rajasekar, M., Nandhini Udhaya, D. and Suganthi, S. (2017). Supplementation of mineral nutrients through foliar spray- A review. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, **6**(3): 2504-2513.
15. Rathod, B., Laxmi, K. V., Cheena, J., Krishnaveni, V. and Kumar, B. N. (2022). Studies on effect of nano urea on growth on French basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) cultivars under southern Telangana conditions. *The Pharma Inno. J.*, **11**(12): 4160-4164.
16. Sathyan, D. (2022). Effect of nano nutrients on pea growth and yield (*Pisum sativum* L.). *The Pharma Inno. J.*, **11**(9): 1895-1898.
17. Vinayaka, S., Sabarad, A. I., Gorabal, N. K. H., Mulla, S. A. R. Vijaymahantesh and Nandimath, S. T. (2022). Influence of nano urea on growth and yield of jamun cv. 'AJG-85' under HDP system. *The Pharma Inno. J.*, **11**(12): 354-356.
18. Yadav D, Singh SP. Mango: History origin and distribution. *J. Pharmaco. and Phytochem.* (2017); **6**(6): 1257-1262.

Comment [C26]: too old

Comment [C27]: ?