

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125465
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Nano Urea on Mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.) Grafts Cv. Alphonso.
Type of the Article	Short communication

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Its is required to know about the adaptation and impact of new technologies' and its constant impact on the crop improvement, so its a good work but very short even analysed more accurately using different parameters	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No need to mention all treatment in abstract, mention the composition and briefly write the results with future prospect.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Introduction: start reference from no. 1 not directly 18, mention the need of study, mention the lacunas of old method Material and methods: no need to number the 2.1,2.2 like this. Result and discussion: compare the result with positive and negative control, discuss the impact of results between them	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	No, not cited correctly and crnologically, follow the guideline of journal	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muske Deepa Navanath
Department, University & Country	University of Horticultural Sciences, India