

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_122780
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Spatial Variability of Major and Micro Nutrients in Soils of Satara District of Maharashtra, India
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important and useful to the scientific community, but it needs corrections. Today, the increasing population growth, the need for more food, intensive land exploitation, etc., have led to widespread nutrient deficiencies in most regions of the world. These factors combine to create a complex landscape of food demand that is challenging to address.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the manuscript is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	A comprehensive and complete abstract of the manuscript has been prepared. Add keywords after the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript need corrections. Pay attention to the comments on the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think the manuscript is scientifically sound and can be useful and effective for the scientific community, but it needs serious revision.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references used in the manuscript are appropriate but old and not sufficient. To improve the quality of the manuscript, it is better to use recent sources (after 2020).	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is suitable, however, the text of the manuscript has many editing errors.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A map of the sampling points should be provided. A map of the geographical location of the region should be provided. All maps must have geographic coordinates. The introduction of the figure should be done below it, not above. Please pay attention to the comments on the manuscript.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nader Jandaghi
Department, University & Country	Gonbad Kavous University, Iran