

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review.</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJBCRR_125004</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>NUTRITIONAL STRATEGIES FOR OPTIMIZING PERFORMANCE IN ENDURANCE SPORTS: A CASE STUDY OF MARATHON RUNNERS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Scientific - Experimentalz</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The sample of the respondents are unique. The used methods for statistical are adequate. The study Results and Presentation is in Tables and Figures. Evaluation of the Results is correct.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES The abstract should be written as one section, not to be divided as per sentences. Keywords should not be bolded.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	This manuscript has appropriate structure and sections, but some subsections may not be in CAPITAL and BOLD letters.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Manuscript is considered interesting as per academic and sports oriented professionals. This kind of research are very rare, considering endurance sports like marathon.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	YES	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES (but, there are some corrections needed)	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The Reviewer suggests using the edited text for publication with minor Revisions, which will be forwarded to the Editor via email.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Milos Popovic</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Pristine, Serbia</b>